



Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago

CECIL LUE-HING RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT COMPLEX 6001 WEST PERSHING ROAD CICERO, ILLINOIS 60804-4112

Edward W. Podczerwinski, P.E. Director of Monitoring and Research

August 12, 2021

Ms. Catherine Siders Illinois Environmental Protection Agency Bureau of Water DWPC Compliance Section #19 1021 North Grand Avenue East P.O. Box 19276 Springfield, Illinois 62794-9274

Dear Ms. Siders:

Subject: Hanover Park Water Reclamation Plant - Illinois Environmental Protection Agency Permit No. 2016-SC-61315, Monitoring Report for April, May, and June 2021

The attached tables contain the monitoring data for the Hanover Park Water Reclamation Plant (WRP) Fischer Farm site for April, May, and June 2021, as required by Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (IEPA) Operating Permit No. 2016-SC-61315. Analytical data for well water samples collected during the quarter are presented in <u>Table 1</u>.

Drainage water (combined surface and subsurface) returned to the Hanover Park WRP from the farm fields was sampled in April, May, and June 2021, and data for these samples are presented in <u>Table 2</u>. The volumes of drainage water returned to the WRP during the first quarter were estimated as 1.4, 0.47, and 16 million gallons in April, May, and June, respectively. The analytical data for lagoon supernatant applied to Fischer Farm fields in June are presented in <u>Table 3</u>. The volume of lagoon supernatant and the associated dry weight of biosolids applied are shown in <u>Table 4</u>. Field and water monitoring locations are presented in <u>Figure 1</u>.

Based on the investigation of the high levels of NH₃-N in Well 7, it appears that the source of these high levels is seepage from adjacent lagoons and subsurface drainage associated with supernatant application, both of which have high NH₃-N levels. Since implementing management practices to reduce the loading in adjacent lagoons and application of supernatant to the closest farm field, NH₃-N in Well 7 has shown a consistent pattern of decline with some significant fluctuation that may be associated with seasonality or management. We will continue implementing these practices.

The data reported are as follows:

Table 1Analysis of Water From Monitoring Wells W-3, W-5, W-6, W-7, and W-8 at
the Hanover Park Fischer Farm Site Sampled in May 2021.

BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

Kari K. Steele President Barbara J. McGowan Vice President Marcelino Garcia *Chairman of Finance* Cameron Davis Kimberly Du Buclet Josina Morita Eira L. Corral Sepúlveda Debra Shore Mariyana T. Spyropoulos Ms. Catherine Siders

- Subject: Hanover Park Water Reclamation Plant Illinois Environmental Protection Agency Permit No. 2016-SC-61315, Monitoring Report for April, May, and June 2021
- Table 2Analysis of Combined Surface and Subsurface Drainage From the FischerFarm Site Returned to the Hanover Park Water Reclamation Plant DuringApril, May, and June 2021.
- Table 3Analysis of Lagoon Supernatant applied to Fields at the Hanover Park Fischer
Farm Site During June 2021.
- Table 4Volumes and Dry Weights of Lagoon Supernatant Applied to Fields During
June 2021 at the Hanover Park Fischer Farm Site
- <u>Figure 1</u> Map of Fields and Wells at the Hanover Park Fischer Farm Site of the Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago.

Very truly yours,

Albert Con

Albert Cox Environmental Monitoring and Research Manager Monitoring and Research Department

AC:BM:lf Attachments cc: Mr. J. Patel, Manager, IEPA – Des Plaines Mr. T. Bennett, IEPA Mr. B. Fleming, IEPA Mr. J. Colletti, USEPA, Region 5 Mr. P. Kuefler, USEPA, Region 5 Mr. J. Chavich Mr. B. Kaunelis Mr. A. Gronski Dr. H. Zhang

HANOVER PARK WATER RECLAMATION PLANT

FISCHER FARM MONITORING REPORT FOR

SECOND QUARTER 2021

Monitoring and Research Department Edward W. Podczerwinski, Director

August 2021

| | Unit | W-3 | W-5 | W-6 | W-7 | W-8 |
|-----------------|--------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| pН | | 7.9 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 7.7 | 8.2 |
| Conductivity | $mS m^{-1}$ | 117 | 72 | 77 | 130 | 64 |
| Cl | mg L ⁻¹ | 15 | 17 | 19 | 24 | 10 |
| SO_4 | " | 330 | 94 | 107 | 235 | 71 |
| Alkalinity | " | 322 | 313 | 298 | 477 | 281 |
| TKN | " | <1.0 | <1.0 | <1.0 | 34 | <1.0 |
| NH3-N | " | < 0.30 | 0.35 | 0.32 | 32 | 0.42 |
| $NO_2^-+NO_3^N$ | " | < 0.25 | < 0.25 | < 0.25 | < 0.25 | < 0.25 |
| Total P | " | < 0.15 | < 0.15 | < 0.15 | 1.1 | < 0.15 |
| Cd | " | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 |
| Cr | " | < 0.004 | < 0.004 | < 0.004 | < 0.004 | < 0.004 |
| Cu | " | 0.004 | 0.002 | 0.002 | 0.004 | < 0.002 |
| Fe | " | 6.1 | 2.6 | 1.3 | 12 | 0.80 |
| Mn | " | 0.10 | 0.026 | 0.032 | 0.31 | 0.021 |
| Ni | " | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | < 0.002 | 0.008 | < 0.002 |
| Zn | " | 0.039 | < 0.010 | < 0.010 | 0.28 | < 0.010 |

| TABLE 1: ANALYSIS OF WATER FROM MONITORING WELLS W-3, W-5, W-6, W-7, |
|--|
| AND W-8 AT THE HANOVER PARK FISCHER FARM SITE SAMPLED IN MAY 2021 |

| Date | Sump | NH ₃ -N | TSS^1 | BOD ₅ |
|----------|------|--------------------|---------|------------------|
| 04/06/21 | East | 13 | 2 | 3 |
| 04/06/21 | West | < 0.30 | 2 3 | 3 3 |
| 04/20/21 | East | 14 | 2 | 6 |
| 04/20/21 | West | 0.53 | 2 | 3 |
| 05/11/21 | East | 30 | 3 | 2 |
| 05/11/21 | West | 2.7 | <2 | 4 |
| 05/25/21 | East | 53 | 5 | 4 |
| 05/25/21 | West | 17 | 3 | 8 |
| 06/08/21 | East | 43 | 3 | 5 |
| 06/08/21 | West | 13 | 3 2 | 27 |
| 06/22/21 | East | 4.1 | <2 | NA ² |
| 06/22/21 | West | < 0.30 | 2 | NA ² |
| 06/23/21 | East | NRR ³ | 263 | 418 |
| 06/23/21 | West | NRR ³ | 3 | <2 |

TABLE 2: ANALYSIS OF COMBINED SURFACE AND SUBSURFACE DRAINAGE FROM THE FISCHER FARM SITE RETURNED TO THE HANOVER PARK WATER RECLAMATION PLANT DURING APRIL, MAY, AND JUNE 2021

¹Total suspended solids.
²Sample could not be analyzed because it was not thermally preserved.
³No reportable result. Sample was not chemically preserved within 10 hours of collection.

| Constituent | Unit | Concentration | |
|---|--------------------|---------------|--|
| рН | | 8.0 | |
| TSW % | % | 0.22 | |
| VTSW% | " | 63 | |
| TKN | mg L ⁻¹ | 955 | |
| NH ₃ -N | " | 818 | |
| NO ₂ ⁻ +NO ₃ ⁻ -N | " | 0 | |
| Total P | " | 83 | |
| Volatile Acids | " | <5 | |
| Cd | " | < 0.002 | |
| Cr | " | < 0.004 | |
| Cu | " | 0.264 | |
| Mn | " | 0.431 | |
| Ni | " | 0.027 | |
| Pb | " | 0.005 | |
| Zn | " | 0.428 | |
| | | | |

TABLE 3: ANALYSIS OF LAGOON SUPERNATANT APPLIED TO FIELDS AT THE
HANOVER PARK FISCHER FARM SITE DURING JUNE 2021

TABLE 4: VOLUMES AND DRY WEIGHTS OF LAGOON SUPERNATANT APPLIED TOFIELDS DURING JUNE 2021 AT THE HANOVER PARK FISCHER FARM SITE

| Field | Date | Biosolids Type | Volume (Gallons) | Dry Weight (Tons) |
|-------|----------|----------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| 5 | 06/23/21 | Supernatant | 175,000 | 1.6 |
| 6 | 06/23/21 | Supernatant | 175,000 | 1.6 |
| 5 | 06/24/21 | Supernatant | 135,000 | 1.18 |
| 6 | 06/24/21 | Supernatant | 135,000 | 1.18 |
| Total | | | 620,000 | 5.56 |

FIGURE 1: MAP OF FIELDS AND WELLS AT THE HANOVER PARK FISCHER FARM SITE OF THE METROPOLITAN WATER RECLAMATION DISTRICT OF GREATER CHICAGO

