

Protecting Our Water Environment



Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago100 East Erie StreetChicago, Illinois 60611-3154312.751.5190

Board of Commissioners Terrence J. O'Brien President Kathleen Therese Meany Vice President Gloria Alitto Majewski Chairman of Finance Frank Avila Patricia Horton Barbara J. McGowan Cynthia M. Santos Debra Shore Mariyana T. Spyropoulos

Thomas C. Granato, Ph.D. Director of Monitoring and Research Department thomas.granato@mwrd.org

December 21, 2011

Ms. Michelle Rousey Quality Assurance Officer Illinois Environmental Protection Agency 1021 North Grand Avenue P.O. Box 19276 Springfield, IL 62794-9276

Dear Ms. Rousey:

Subject: Biomonitoring Report for 2011 – Acute Whole Effluent Toxicity Test Results for the John E. Egan Water Reclamation Plant, Schaumburg, Illinois, National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Permit Number IL0036340, November 2011

The subject Biomonitoring Report is submitted in compliance with the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Permit Number IL0036340, Special Condition 11.

The subject report includes copies of all bench sheets, chain-of-custody forms, sample receipt and preparation forms, hard copies of computer generated statistical analyses, control charts, and a certification of accuracy statement.

If you have any questions concerning this report, please contact Ms. Jennifer Wasik, Supervising Aquatic Biologist, at (708) 588-4060.

Very truly yours,

Thomas C. Granato, Ph.D. Director Monitoring and Research

TCG:JW:ps Enclosures cc: H. Shah/C. O'Connor cc (w/o enclosures): M. Sharma/A. Gronski

 Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago100 East Erie StreetChicago, IL 60611-2803(312) 751-5600
BIOMONITORING REPORT 2011
ACUTE WHOLE EFFLUENT TOXICITY TEST RESULTS FOR THE JOHN E. EGAN WATER RECLAMATION PLANT SCHAUMBURG, ILLINOIS
NATIONAL POLLUTANT DISCHARGE ELIMINATION SYSTEM PERMIT NUMBER IL0036340, NOVEMBER 2011

Monitoring and Research Department Thomas C. Granato, Director

DECEMBER 2011

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
LIST OF TAB	LES	iii
ACKNOWLE	DGMENTS	iv
DISCLAIME	R	iv
JOHN E. EG NOIS, NATI	OLE EFFLUENT TOXICITY TEST RESULTS FOR THE AN WATER RECLAMATION PLANT, SCHAUMBURG, ILLI- ONAL POLLUTANT DISCHARGE ELIMINATION SYSTEM /IBER IL0036340, NOVEMBER 2011	1
Summa	ary	1
Sample	eInformation	1
Whole	Effluent Toxicity Tests	1
Analys	ts	3
Results	3	3
CERTIFICAT	ION OF ACCURACY	6
APPENDICES	5	
AI	Summary of Acute Whole Effluent Toxicity Test Results <i>Pimephales promelas</i> CETIS Test Summary and Measurement Report	AI-1
AII	Summary of Acute Whole Effluent Toxicity Test Results <i>Ceriodaphnia dubia</i> CETIS Test Summary and Measurement Report	AII-1
BI	Raw Data for <i>Pimephales promelas</i> Whole Effluent Toxicity Test Conducted on the John E. Egan Water Reclamation Plant Final Effluent Collected November 14 Through November 15, 2011	BI-1
BII	Raw Data for <i>Ceriodaphnia dubia</i> Whole Effluent Toxicity Test Conducted on the John E. Egan Water Reclamation Plant Final Ef- fluent Collected November 14 Through November 15, 2011	BII-1

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

- CI Chain-of-Custody for Whole Effluent Toxicity Test Conducted on CI-1 the John E. Egan Water Reclamation Plant Final Effluent Collected November 14 Through November 15, 2011
- DI Quality Assurance for the *Pimephales promelas* Whole Effluent DI-1 Toxicity Test: Raw Data and Statistical Calculations for the Concurrent Reference Toxicant Test, Control Chart, and Culture Data
- DII Quality Assurance for the *Ceriodaphnia dubia* Whole Effluent Toxicity Test: Raw Data and Statistical Calculations for the Concurrent Reference Toxicant Test, Control Chart, and Culture Data

LIST OF TABLES

Table <u>No.</u>		Page
1	Sample Collection Information	2
2	Acute Pimephales promelas Test Results	4
3	Acute Ceriodaphnia dubia Test Results	5

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Special thanks are extended to Section 124 Analytical Microbiology and Biomonitoring staff and Section 126 Aquatic Ecology and Water Quality staff for their assistance in maintaining the test culture organisms. We thank Ms. Colleen Joyce and Mr. Panu Lansiri for conducting the toxicity tests.

Thanks are also extended to Ms. Hemangini Shukla, Mr. Nicholas Kollias, Mr. Justin Vick, and Dr. Geeta Rijal for preparing the report.

Special thanks are due to Ms. Pamela Slaby for formatting, editing, and preparing this report for print.

DISCLAIMER

Mention of proprietary equipment and chemicals in this report does not constitute endorsement by the Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago.

ACUTE WHOLE EFFLUENT TOXICITY TEST RESULTS FOR THE JOHN E. EGAN WATER RECLAMATION PLANT, SCHAUMBURG, ILLINOIS

NATIONAL POLLUTANT DISCHARGE ELIMINATION SYSTEM PERMIT NUMBER IL0036340, NOVEMBER 2011

Summary

The acute toxicity test with *Pimephales promelas* (*P. promelas*) (96-hour, static, renewal), was conducted on samples of the John E. Egan Water Reclamation Plant (WRP) final effluent collected November 14 through November 15, 2011. The results indicated that the test was valid. No acute toxic effect on *P. promelas* was observed. Results of the quality control acute toxicity test with *P. promelas* using the reference toxicant sodium chloride (NaCl) fell within limits prescribed as acceptable by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA).

The acute toxicity test with *Ceriodaphnia dubia* (*C. dubia*) (48-hour, static, non-renewal) was conducted on samples of the John E. Egan WRP final effluent collected November 14, through November 15, 2011. The results indicated that the test was valid. No acute toxic effect on *C. dubia* was observed. Results of the quality control acute toxicity test with *C. dubia* using the reference toxicant NaCl fell within limits prescribed as acceptable by the USEPA.

Sample Information

Tests were performed using 24-hour composite samples of the John E. Egan WRP final effluent collected on November 14 through November 15, 2011, for the acute toxicity tests. The individual grab samples were stored on site at $0.1-6^{\circ}$ C in a refrigerator. Sample temperatures at the time of receipt were less than or equal to 5.1°C. Samples were stored in a dedicated refrigerator in the Biomonitoring Laboratory at $0.1-6^{\circ}$ C. Sample collection information is shown in Table 1.

Whole Effluent Toxicity Tests

The acute toxicity tests with *P. promelas* and *C. dubia* were conducted on the John E. Egan WRP effluent samples collected November 14 through November 15, 2011. Acute Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) test methods and procedures were followed in accordance with *Me*-thods for Measuring the Acute Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to Freshwater and Marine Organisms, EPA/821-R-02-12, Fifth Edition, October 2002. *P. promelas* were exposed to 6.25, 12.5, 25, 50 and 100 percent concentration of final effluent for 96 hours. *C. dubia* were exposed to the same final effluent concentrations for 48 hours.

U		on Plant Effluent Discharge	
period wer sample. Th	Five 2-1/2 gallon grab samples collected over a 24-hour period were combined to make a 24-hour composite sample. The individual grab samples were collected at 6-hour intervals.		
Dates:	0600 1200 1800	November 14, 2011 November 14, 2011 November 14, 2011	
_	Number 00 Five 2-1/2 period wer sample. Th	period were combined to n sample. The individual grab s hour intervals. Dates: 0600 1200	

TABLE 1: SAMPLE COLLECTION INFORMATION

The acute fathead minnow test (*P. promelas*) was set up on November 15, 2011, and completed on November 19, 2011. The acute *C. dubia* test was set up on November 15, 2011, and completed on November 17, 2011. Hard synthetic water with selenium (HSW) was used as control and dilution water for both test species.

Statistical analyses were performed using the Comprehensive Environmental Toxicity Information System (CETIS) software program, version 1.7.0 (Tidepool Scientific Software, California).

Concurrent reference toxicant tests (RTT) using NaCl were conducted, and the control charts for the *P. promelas* and *C. dubia* acute RTT were prepared.

Analysts

WET tests were conducted by Ms. Colleen Joyce (Laboratory Technician II) and Mr. Panu Lansiri (Laboratory Technician I). Mr. Nicholas Kollias (Assistant Aquatic Biologist) entered the raw data in an Excel and CETIS program. Mr. Nicholas Kollias prepared this report.

Results

Results of the acute *P. promelas* WET test are shown in <u>Table 2</u>. The *P. promelas* test results indicated a valid test. No acute toxicity to *P. promelas* was observed. The HSW control water met the test acceptability criteria for the *P. promelas* test. Results of the quality control acute toxicity test with *P. promelas* using the RTT fell within limits prescribed as acceptable by the USEPA, i.e. within ± 2 standard deviations from the mean.

Results of the acute *C. dubia* WET test are shown in <u>Table 3</u>. The *C. dubia* test results indicated a valid test. No acute toxicity to *C. dubia* was observed. The HSW control water met the test acceptability criteria for the *C. dubia* test. Results of the quality control, acute toxicity test with *C. dubia* using the RTT fell within limits prescribed as acceptable by USEPA, i.e. within ± 2 standard deviations from the mean.

The WET test results indicated the absence of acute toxicity to *P. promelas* and *C. dubia*. Tabulated summaries of the *P. promelas* and *C. dubia* WET tests are presented in <u>Appendices AI</u> and <u>AII</u>, respectively. Raw data for the *P. promelas* and *C. dubia* WET tests are presented in <u>Appendices BI</u> and <u>BII</u>, respectively. Chain-of-Custody documentation is provided in <u>Appendix</u> <u>CI</u>. Raw data, statistical calculations, culture data, and control charts for the *P. promelas* and *C. dubia* concurrent RTT are provided in <u>Appendices DI</u> and <u>DII</u>, respectively.

Acute Test Parameters	Results
96-h LC ₅₀	>100%
Toxicity Observed	No
Mean Percent Survival in Laboratory Water Control (HSW)	100%
Mean Percent Survival in 100% Final Effluent	100%
Valid Test	Yes
Concurrent Reference Toxicant Test in Control	Yes

TABLE 2: ACUTE PIMEPHALES PROMELAS TEST RESULTS

Acute Test Parameters	Results
48-h LC ₅₀	>100%
Toxicity Observed	No
Mean Percent Survival in Laboratory Water Control (HSW)	100%
Mean Percent Survival in 100% Final Effluent	100%
Valid Test	Yes
Concurrent Reference Toxicant Test in Control	Yes

TABLE 3: ACUTE CERIODAPHNIA DUBIA TEST RESULTS

CERTIFICATION OF ACCURACY

I certify under penalty of law that this document and all appendices were prepared under my supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering data, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations 40 C.F.R. 122.22 (d).

Date

Thomas C. Granato, Ph.D. Director Monitoring and Research

If you have any questions concerning this report, please contact Ms. Jennifer Wasik, Supervising Aquatic Biologist, at 708-588-4060.