

Contents

Volume 1

Executive Summary	III
Background.....	III
Detailed Watershed Plan Scope	III
Watershed Overview.....	IV
Existing Conditions Evaluation	IV
Evaluation of Alternatives	VIII
Recommendations.....	VIII
Contents.....	XIII
Acronyms and Abbreviations	XVIII
1. Introduction	1-1
1.1 Scope and Approach.....	1-2
1.2 Data Collection and Evaluation	1-2
1.3 Hydrologic and Hydraulic Modeling	1-2
1.3.1 Model Selection	1-4
1.3.2 Model Setup and Unit Numbering.....	1-4
1.3.3 Storm Duration.....	1-9
1.3.4 Areal Reduction Factor.....	1-9
1.3.5 Hydrologic Routing	1-9
1.3.6 Hydraulic Model Setup	1-10
1.3.7 Model Run Settings.....	1-11
1.3.8 Model Calibration and Verification.....	1-11
1.3.9 Flood Inundation Mapping	1-12
1.3.10 Discrepancies Between Inundation Mapping and Regulatory Flood Maps.....	1-12
1.3.11 Model Review	1-12
1.4 Development and Evaluation of Alternatives	1-13
1.4.1 Problem Area Identification	1-13
1.4.2 Economic Analysis.....	1-13
1.4.3 Alternative Development and Evaluation.....	1-16
2. Watershed Characteristics	2-1
2.1 General Watershed Description.....	2-1
2.2 Stormwater Problem Data	2-4
2.3 Watershed Analysis Data.....	2-20
2.3.1 Monitoring Data	2-20
2.3.2 Subwatershed Delineation.....	2-23
2.3.3 Drainage Network.....	2-23
2.3.4 Topography and Benchmarks	2-24
2.3.5 Soil Classifications.....	2-24
2.3.6 Land Use.....	2-25
2.3.7 Anticipated Development and Future Conditions.....	2-26
2.3.8 Wetland and Riparian Areas	2-27

2.3.9	Management of Future Conditions through the regulations of Site Stormwater Management.....	2-27
3.	Tributary Characteristics and Analysis	3-1
3.1	West Fork	3-1
3.1.1	Sources of Data	3-1
3.1.2	Watershed Analysis	3-12
3.1.3	Development and Evaluation of Alternatives.....	3-16
3.2	Middle Fork	3-26
3.2.1	Sources of Data	3-26
3.2.2	Watershed Analysis	3-32
3.2.3	Development and Evaluation of Alternatives.....	3-34
3.3	Skokie River	3-40
3.3.1	Sources of Data	3-40
3.3.2	Watershed Analysis	3-44
3.3.3	Development and Evaluation of Alternatives.....	3-47
3.4	Mainstem of the NBCR Upstream of the North Branch Dam	3-52
3.4.1	Sources of Data	3-52
3.4.2	Watershed Analysis	3-63
3.4.3	Development and Evaluation of Alternatives.....	3-70
3.5	North Shore Channel.....	3-81
3.5.1	Sources of Data	3-81
3.5.2	Watershed Analysis	3-87
3.5.3	Development and Evaluation of Alternatives.....	3-87
3.6	Mainstem of the NBCR Downstream of the North Branch Dam.....	3-88
3.6.1	Sources of Data	3-88
3.6.2	Watershed Analysis	3-95
3.6.3	Development and Evaluation of Alternatives.....	3-95
3.7	Lake Michigan Watershed	3-96
3.7.1	Sources of Data	3-96
3.7.2	Watershed Analysis	3-99
3.7.3	Development and Evaluation of Alternatives.....	3-100
4.	Watershed Action Plan.....	4-1
4.1	Watershed Maintenance Activities.....	4-1
4.2	Recommended Capital Improvements	4-3
4.3	Implementation Plan	4-3
5.	Summary and Conclusions.....	5-1
6.	References	6-1

Tables

ES.1	Recommended Alternatives Summary for the North Branch of the Chicago River and Lake Michigan Watersheds	IX
1.3.1	WPC Coordination Activities	1-3
1.3.2	Description of Curve Number Input Data	1-5
1.3.3	Runoff Curve Numbers for Urban Areas	1-7
1.3.4	Rainfall Depths	1-9
1.4.1	Flood Control Technologies.....	1-17
1.4.2	Erosion Control Technologies	1-19

2.1.1	Municipalities in the NBCR and LM Watersheds within Cook County	2-2
2.1.2	NBCR and LM Watersheds Open Channel Stream Lengths	2-2
2.1.3	Municipality and Subwatersheds within the Municipality Boundary.....	2-3
2.2.1	Summary of Responses to Form B Questionnaire.....	2-5
2.3.1	USGS Gage Data in the NBCR Watershed	2-20
2.3.2	Hydrologic Soil Groups	2-25
2.3.3	Hydrologic Soil Group Distribution.....	2-25
2.3.4	Land Use Distribution within the NBCR & LM Watersheds.....	2-26
2.3.5	Projected Population Increase by Subwatershed	2-26
3.1.1	Communities Draining to the West Fork.....	3-1
3.1.2	Land Use Distribution for the West Fork	3-1
3.1.3	Community Response Data for the West Fork	3-3
3.1.4	West Fork System Subbasin Summary	3-12
3.1.5	Flow Events at USGS gage 05535500.....	3-13
3.1.6	Modeled Problem Definition for the West Fork	3-16
3.1.7	Estimated Damages for the West Fork.....	3-17
3.1.8	Flood Control and Erosion Control Alternatives for the West Fork.....	3-17
3.1.9.A	Recommended Alternative WF-06 Existing and Alternative Condition Flow and WSEL Comparison.....	3-23
3.1.9.B	Non-Recommended Alternative WF-19 Existing and Alternative Condition Flow and WSEL Comparison	3-23
3.1.9.C	Non-Recommended Alternative WF-20 Existing and Alternative Condition Flow and WSEL Comparison	3-24
3.1.9.D	Non-Recommended Alternative WF-21 Existing and Alternative Condition Flow and WSEL Comparison	3-24
3.1.10	West Fork Project Alternative Matrix to Support District CIP Prioritization.....	3-25
3.2.1	Communities Draining to the Middle Fork.....	3-26
3.2.2	Land Use Distribution for Middle Fork	3-26
3.2.3	Community Response Data for the Middle Fork	3-28
3.2.4	Flow Events at USGS gage 05534500.....	3-33
3.2.5	Modeled Problem Definition for Middle Fork.....	3-35
3.2.6	Estimated Damages for Middle Fork	3-35
3.2.7	Flood Control and Erosion Control Alternatives for the Middle Fork.....	3-36
3.2.8	Middle Fork Project Alternative Matrix to Support District CIP Prioritization.....	3-39
3.3.1	Communities Draining to Skokie River	3-40
3.3.2	Land Use Distribution for Skokie River.....	3-40
3.3.3	Community Response Data for the Skokie River	3-42
3.3.4	Skokie River System Subbasin Summary	3-44
3.3.5	Flow Events at USGS gage 05535070.....	3-45
3.3.6	Modeled Problem Definition for the Skokie River	3-47
3.3.7	Estimated Damages for the Skokie River	3-47
3.3.8	Flood Control and Erosion Control Alternatives for the Skokie River	3-48
3.3.9	Skokie River Project Alternative Matrix to Support District CIP Prioritization	3-51
3.4.1	Communities Draining to Mainstem Upstream	3-52
3.4.2	Land Use Distribution for the Mainstem Upstream	3-52
3.4.3	Community Response Data for the Mainstem Upstream	3-54
3.4.4	Mainstem Upstream System Subbasin Summary	3-63

3.4.5	Flow Events at USGS gage 05536000.....	3-64
3.4.6	Flow Events at USGS gage 05536105.....	3-64
3.4.7	Gage and Model Peak Flow Comparison.....	3-65
3.4.8	Gage and Model Volume Comparison	3-65
3.4.9	Gage and Model Peak Stage Comparison	3-65
3.4.10	Modeled Problem Definition for the Mainstem Upstream	3-70
3.4.11	Estimated Damages for Mainstem Upstream	3-70
3.4.12	Flood Control and Erosion Control Alternatives for the Mainstem Upstream	3-71
3.4.13.A	Recommended Alternative MS-10 Existing and Alternative Condition Flow and WSEL Comparison	3-75
3.4.13.B	Recommended Alternative MS-14 Existing and Alternative Condition Flow and WSEL Comparison	3-76
3.4.13.C	Non-Recommended Alternative MS-07 Existing and Alternative Condition Flow and WSEL Comparison	3-77
3.4.13.D	Non-Recommended Alternative MS-12 Existing and Alternative Condition Flow and WSEL Comparison	3-77
3.4.14	Mainstem Project Alternative Matrix to Support District CIP Prioritization	3-79
3.5.1	Communities Draining to the North Shore Channel.....	3-81
3.5.2	Land Use Distribution for the North Shore Channel.....	3-81
3.5.3	Community Response Data for the North Shore Channel.....	3-83
3.6.1	Communities Draining to the Mainstem Downstream	3-88
3.6.2	Land Use Distribution for the Mainstem Downstream.....	3-88
3.6.3	Community Response Data for the Mainstem Downstream.....	3-90
3.7.1	Communities Draining to Lake Michigan Watershed.....	3-96
3.7.2	Land Use Distribution for Lake Michigan Watershed.....	3-96
3.7.3	Community Response Data for the Lake Michigan Watershed	3-98
3.7.4	Lake Michigan Ravine Subbasin Areas within Cook County	3-99
4.1.1	Summary of Problem Areas where Debris Removal or Other Maintenance is Recommended	4-2
4.2.1	NBCR and LM Watersheds' Prioritization Matrix	4-5

Figures

Note: Figures not embedded in the chapters are contained in Volume 2.

ES.1	North Branch Chicago River Watershed Overview
ES.2	Summary of Existing Conditions Damages within the North Branch of the Chicago River and Lake Michigan Watersheds over 50-Year Period of Analysis
ES.3	North Branch of the Chicago River Watershed Alternative Summary
1.4.1	Hypothetical Damage-Frequency Relationship
3.1A	West Fork flow comparison for September 13, 2008 storm
3.1B	West Fork flow comparison for October 13, 2001 storm
3.1C	West Fork stage comparison for September 13, 2008 storm

- 3.1D West Fork stage comparison for October 13, 2001 storm
- 3.2A Middle Fork flow comparison for September 13, 2008 storm
- 3.2B Middle Fork flow comparison for October 13, 2001 storm
- 3.3A Skokie River flow comparison for September 13, 2008 storm
- 3.3B Skokie River flow comparison for October 14, 2001 storm
- 3.4A Mainstem flow comparison at the Niles gage (05536000) for September 13, 2008 storm
- 3.4B Mainstem flow comparison at the Albany gage (05536105) for September 13, 2008 storm
- 3.4C Mainstem stage comparison at the Niles gage (05536000) for September 13, 2008 storm
- 3.4D Mainstem stage comparison at the Albany gage (05536105) for September 13, 2008 storm
- 3.4E Mainstem flow comparison at the Niles gage (05536000) for October 13, 2001 storm
- 3.4F Mainstem flow comparison at the Albany gage (05536105) for October 13, 2001 storm
- 3.4G Mainstem stage comparison at the Niles gage (05536000) for October 13, 2001 storm
- 3.4H Mainstem stage comparison at the Albany gage (05536105) for October 13, 2001 storm
- 5.1 North Branch of the Chicago River Watershed Alternative Summary

Appendices

- A DWP Inundation Area and FEMA Floodplain Comparison (*exhibits on CD*)
- B Chapter 6 of the CCSMP (*on CD*)
- C Curve Number Calculation (*on CD*)
- D Field Survey Overview Map (*on CD*)
- E Downstream Boundary Conditions (*on CD*)
- F Depth Damage Curves (*on CD*)
- G Hydrologic Model Parameters (*on CD*)
- H Hydraulic Profiles for Existing Conditions (*exhibits in Volume 2*)
- I Project Cost Estimates (*on CD*)

Acronyms and Abbreviations

ABM	Articulated Block Mat
AMC	Antecedent Moisture Conditions
B/C	Benefit to Cost Ratio
CAWS	Chicago Area Waterway System
CBEL	Christopher B. Burke Engineering, Ltd.
CCHD	Cook County Highway Department
CCPN	Cook County Precipitation Network
CCSMP	Cook County Stormwater Management Plan
CCTA	Cook County Tax Assessor
cfs	cubic feet per second
CIP	Capital Improvement Program
CMAP	Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning
CN	Curve Number
CWA	Clean Water Act
DEM	Digital Elevation Model
DFIRM	Digital Flood Insurance Rate Map
District	Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago
DWP	Detailed Watershed Plan
FEMA	Federal Emergency Management Agency
FFE	first floor elevation
FGCS	Federal Geodetic Control Subcommittee
FIS	Flood Insurance Study
FPDCC	Forest Preserve District of Cook County
GIS	Geographic Information System
GPS	Geographic Positioning System
H&H	Hydrologic and Hydraulic
HARN	High Accuracy Reference Network
HEC-DSS	Hydrologic Engineering Center Data Storage System
HEC-HMS	Hydrologic Engineering Center Hydrologic Modeling System
HEC-RAS	Hydrologic Engineering Center River Analysis System
IDNR	Illinois Department of Natural Resources
IDOT	Illinois Department of Transportation
IEPA	Illinois Environmental Protection Agency
ISWS	Illinois State Water Survey
LCSMC	Lake County Stormwater Management Commission
LiDAR	Light Detection and Ranging
LM	Lake Michigan

LOMR	Letter of Map Revision
MWH	MWH Americas, Inc.
NAVD 88	North American Vertical Datum, 1988
NBCR	North Branch Chicago River
NED	National Elevation Dataset
NFIP	National Flood Insurance Program
NGS	National Geodetic Survey
NPDES	National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
NRCS	Natural Resources Conservation Service
NSC	North Shore Channel
NWI	National Wetlands Inventory
PCB	Polychlorinated Biphenyl
ROW	Right-of-Way
SCS	Soil Conservation Service
STATSGO	State Soil Geographic
TMDL	Total Maximum Daily Load
TSS	Total Suspended Solids
USACE	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
USDA	U.S. Department of Agriculture
USEPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
USGS	U.S. Geological Survey
WMO	Watershed Management Ordinance
WPC	Watershed Planning Council
WSEL	Water Surface Elevation

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK