

Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago

# Welcome to the September Edition of the 2022 M&R Seminar Series

#### **NOTES FOR SEMINAR ATTENDEES**

- Remote attendees' audio lines have been muted to minimize background noise. For attendees in the auditorium, please silent your phones.
- A question and answer session will follow the presentation.
- For remote attendees, Please use the "<u>Chat</u>" feature to ask a question via text to "<u>Host</u>". For attendees in the auditorium, please raise your hand and wait for the microphone for asking a verbal question.
- The presentation slides will be posted on the MWRD website after the seminar.
- This seminar has been approved by the ISPE for one PDH and approved by the IEPA for one TCH. Certificates will only be issued to participants who attend the entire presentation.

#### Dr. Dongqi (Cindy) Qin Senior Environmental Research Scientist



Cindy Qin has been with the Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago for over 13 years. She is a Senior Environmental Research Scientist in the Capital Planning, Wastewater Research and New Technology Section of the Monitoring and Research Department's Environmental Research and Monitoring Division. Cindy has a Bachelor of Science and Master of Science in chemistry from Jilin University, Changchun, China and received her Ph.D. in polymer chemistry and physics from Beijing University, Beijing, China. Prior to joining the District in 2009, Cindy worked on research projects at various universities in the U.S. and China.

### Side-stream Enhanced Biologic Phosphorus Removal (S2EBPR) Pilot Test at the Calumet Water Reclamation Plant

September 30<sup>th</sup>, 2022





### Outline

#### • Overview

- The District and Calumet WRP
- Calumet WRP P Removal Road Map
- Understanding Side-stream Enhanced Biological Phosphorous Removal (S2EBPR)
- Calumet Battery A S2EBPR Pilot Results
- Findings and Next Step
- Acknowledgements



# Phosphorus "Life Cycle": Current to Future

Source might be exhausted by 2050











Phosphate Rock Mining



**Production Wastewater** 



**Return to Environment** 















# State and Federal Nutrient Standards Development





## Illinois Nutrient Loads at baseline and 45 **Percent Reduction Goal\***



**Total Phosphorus** 

\*Data obtained from ILNR strategy



- Collection system: 891 km (554 miles ) of intercepting sewers and force mains fed by approximately 10,000
   local sewer connections
- Operates 7 WRPs and 22 pumping stations, handling daily flow of 5.3 x 10<sup>6</sup> m<sup>3</sup> (1.4 billion gallons)

- TP load discharged
  ~5.5 million lbs in
  2021
- Calumet ~2.7 million lbs in 2021.

### **Calumet Water Reclamation Plant**



- Serves over 1 million
  people
- Flows:
  - Avg Design Capacity: 354 MGD
  - Average 2021: 243 MGD
- Full nitrification
- 5 aeration batteries
  - 48 aeration tanks
- Conventional one or two passes/tank
- 52 circular secondary clarifiers



# Calumet P Removal Roadmap





### Calumet Outfall and Primary Effluent Monthly Average TPs





### Low Carbon Primary Effluent – Unfavorable to EBPR



- The carbon to phosphorus ratio (C/P) is a general metric for determining EBPR potential
- Calumet WRP primary effluent is very carbon limited  $\rightarrow$  200,000 lbs/d COD deficit



# Understanding S2EBPR



#### Motivations of using S2EBPR

- Stable anaerobic conditions reduce upsets
- Carbon production reduces reliance on influent characteristics
- Selective pressure leads to more effective use of carbon

Credit to Black & Veatch for slide



# **Calumet Phosphorus Removal Past Studies**





## **Calumet Battery A S2EBPR Pilot Pictures**







#### Fermented RAS Return Piping

### Calumet WRP S2EBPR Demonstration and Testing Scenarios

# Demonstration Project Description

- Influent flow avg.: 46 MGD
- RAS flow avg.: 38 MGD
- RAS diversion: 4 or 8 MGD
- HRT: 10 or 20 hours

#### $\,\circ\,$ Drivers for S2EBPR

- New effluent phosphorus limitation
- Low plant influent organics unfavorable to conventional EBPR
- Existing available tank
  volume for RAS fermenter
- Possible lower chemical cost



- Testing Scenarios:
  - Fermenter mixers (complete mixing vs. low mixing and daily bumping)
  - External carbon addition (Jump-start with high carbon dosage, step decrease till no carbon addition)
  - $\circ$  RAS diversion rate percentage
  - $\circ~$  With and without mainstream anaerobic zone

### Calumet WRP S2EBPR Pilot Performance – Daily Effluent P



#### Highlights:

- Scenario IV was the jump start period with the highest external carbon addition
- Scenario V was the optimum scenario with good performance and reduced carbon addition.

### Calumet WRP S2EBPR Pilot Performance – Effluent Averages



S2EBPR Batt A Effluent Control Batt B Effluent

### Calumet WRP S2EBPR Demo – Optimum Scenario Performance



- S2EBPR battery achieved stable and sustainable performance
- S2EBPR battery well outperformed control battery and had an average orthophosphate of 0.16 mgP/L



# Sludge Phosphorus Content





#### Anaerobic PHA storage didn't occur in aeration tank anaerobic zone

#### Orthophosphate

NO2+NO3-N





### **RAS Fermenter Orthophosphate**



### **Mixer numbering**

#### **Top View**



#### **Mixer scenarios:**

- Mixers 1-4 constant mixing at 16 rpm; Mixer
   5 at 23 rpm
   0.017 HP/1000 ft3
- Mixers 1&5 constant at 16 rpm; Mixers 2-4 at 4 rpm with daily bumping at 16 rpm
   0.006 HP/1000 ft3



### **RAS Fermenter Suspended Solid Profile**

#### Complete Mixing

Low Mixing in the Middle







Study Scenarios	2014 Conventional EBPR	2021 S2EBPR Jumpstart	2021 S2EBPR Optimization
Periods	10/13/14- 12/25/14	9/7/21- 10/7/21	10/8/21- 11/7/21
Batt A final effluent ortho-P avg. (mg/L)	1.32	0.41	0.16
Batt A ortho-P removed avg. (mg/L)	2.54	4.38	3.29
Batt A ortho-P removed avg. (lbs/d)	932	1,425	1,579
Batt A ortho-P removal avg. (%)	68	90	95
Dosage avg. (lbs/d)	25,000	24,000	12,500
Primary Effluent BOD:TP	18	20	12
Primary Effluent BOD:TP (with MicroC <sup>®</sup> 2000 or MicroC <sup>®</sup> 2100)	25	24	14
Primary Effluent rbCOD:TP (MicroC <sup>®</sup> 2100)*	ND	12.3	6.0



# Characterization of Microbial Community





Better P removal performance could due to:

- 1. Enrichment of *Accumulibacter* from the external carbon addition or the carbon source could have activated *Tetrasphaera* metabolism
- 2. Higher total PAO abundance



50 Jan-21

## Settleability

Mar-21

May-21



Jul-21

Sep-21

Oct-21

Dec-21



## Nitrification





## **Total Nitrogen Removal**



Chem P does not remove TN



### S2EBPR Pilot and Recommended Layouts







#### **Future Design Recommendation**

Noted that less nitrate removal due to no anaerobic zone





### Operational Issues and Lessons Learned – Fermenter Scum



A thick scum layer developed over the weekend



The scum layer was removed overnight by turning up mixers to higher mixing energy



### Operational Issues and Lessons Learned – Final Tank Scum





No Abnormal Filaments in S2EBPR Battery A



### Operational Issues and Lessons Learned – Odor and Pumping Issue

- Odor in the RAS fermenters during daily bumping.
- Difficulty pumping MicroC® 2100 during low temperatures.



# Findings – S2EBPR Configuration at Calumet WRP

- RAS divergence: 20 percent
- Fermenter sizing: 10 hours HRT
- Supplemental carbon: at least 12,500 lbs/d COD per each 45 MGD flow
- Mixer operation: low mixing energy with one-hour daily bumping in the middle of fermenter tanks to create sludge stratification and complete mixing at beginning and end of tanks to ensure homogenous sludge in and out
- Anaerobic selectors: <u>not</u> necessary for P removal
- Carbon delivery system: heating for storage tank and heat-traced for piping system
- Final tanks: improved skimming mechanism



# Findings – S2EBPR Pilot Achievements





# Next Step – How to Use Pilot Results

- The Phosphorus Task Force met with the Executive Team on September 6, 2022 to determine the path forward for the phosphorus removal evaluation alternatives.
  - Goals are to meet the upcoming lower permit in an environmentally friendly and ecumenical way.
  - Evaluations on different alternatives are ongoing, more to come...



# **Collaborations and Team Works**

- Interdepartmental Phosphorus Task Force biweekly meetings to discuss
  - Pilot performance
  - Progresses of ongoing capital projects
  - Proactive plans





# Acknowledgements

- Interdepartmental Phosphorus Task Force
- M&R Staff
  - EM&RD for Field Sampling
  - Analytical Laboratories Division for Chemical Analysis
  - Microbiological Analysis
- M&O Staff
  - TPOs making the field adjustments
  - Trades installing monitoring equipment and improving equipment
- Engineering Department
  - Designed and overseed construction of Battery A Pilot



## Acknowledgements – EM&RD ERTs



Peter Cashaw; Joe Kadich; Charles Impastato; Bryan Allen; Dushyant Sharma; Eric Gilmore



Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago

### THANK YOU Cindy Dongqi Qin, PhD

Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago qind@mwrd.org