Recent Progress in Mainstream DeammonificationA Potential Low-Energy Option for Nitrogen Removal

George Wells MWRDGC Monitoring and Research Department Seminar 31 July 2015

6-51-52

The N Cycle: Too Much of a Good Thing



Why control ammonia & reactive N levels?

- Ammonia toxicity to aquatic life
- High oxygen demand
- Eutrophication and resulting hypoxia in N-limited systems
- Emissions of the potent greenhouse gas N₂O
- Public Health Concerns:
 - Methemoglobinemia
 - Cyanobacterial toxins





GRAND CHALLENGES FOR ENGINEERING

Make solar energy economical Provide energy from fusion Develop carbon sequestration technologies Manage the nitrogen cycle

Provide access to clean water Restore and improve urban infrastructure Advance health informatics Engineer better medicines Reverse-engineer the brain Prevent nuclear terror Secure cyberspace Enhance virtual reality Advance personalized learning

> National Academy of Engineering. (2008) *Grand Challenges for Engineering*. www.engineeringchallenges.org

Conventional biological wastewater treatment (particularly N removal bioprocesses) are highly energy intensive

Wastewater treatment accounts for ~3% of nationwide electricity use (~15 GW)



Conversely, organic-rich domestic, industrial, and animal wastewater contains potential energy equivalent to ~17 GW of power (Logan et al. 2012)





Energy Positive Wastewater Treatment by rerouting "misplaced resources" and closing the engineered water cycle

Given that conventional nutrient removal processes are highly energy intensive, it is unlikely that energy positive wastewater treatment targeting resource recovery can be achieved without new innovations in N removal bioprocesses















Anammox Bioprocesses: A Critical Opportunity for Sustainable Wastewater Treatment

Conventional Biological N Removal*



*Neglecting biomass growth and decay



Deammonification processes <u>decouple C and N removal</u>, thereby potentially enabling enhanced C removal as biogas or value-added products (bioplastics, platform chemicals, liquid biofuels, etc.)

*Neglecting biomass growth and decay

Initial Development of Deammonification Processes has focussed on *sidestream* treatment of anaerobic digester supernatant

Sidestreams are characterized by:

- High temperature (~30°C)
- High NH₄⁺ (~500-1000 mgN/L)



While challenges remain to be addressed, particularly regarding process stability, sidestream deammonification is a rapidly maturing technology



Source: Lackner et al. 2014. Water Research, 55 (2014) 292-303.

Pushing the envelope: Can we apply deammonification bioprocesses in the mainstream?



The mainstream is characterized by:

- Low temperatures
- Low NH_4^+

Critical Challenges to (Mainstream) Deammonification

- **1. Process stability and reliability** under dynamic conditions expected in the mainstream
- 2. Robust and stable *outcompetition of NOB*
- 3. Maintenance of *high levels of anammox biomass and activity* under low temperature, low substrate conditions
- 4. Coupled deammonification and *biological P removal*

Impact of Aggregate Architecture on Deammonification Process Stability



Alex Rosenthal

eawag



David Weissbrodt



Eberhard Morgenroth



Adriano Joss





Our Hypothesis

Mass transport limitations and aggregate structure in deammonification processes impact process performance and stability

Approach: Side-by-side comparison between two common process variations employing different aggregate types:

Biofilm Carriers (MBBR) **Suspended Growth Biomass**







Flocs

Granules

Process Performance and Stability in Replicated Lab-Scale Reactors



Process Performance and Stability in Replicated Lab-Scale Reactors

3x MBBRs (Reactors R1, R2, R3)



3x Suspended Growth (Reactors R4, R5, R6)



Feed: anaerobic digester centrate





 $\odot\,$ Dose: 500-1100 $\mu\text{g/L},$ expected to only partially inhibit activity

Response to Pulse of Inhibitor of Aerobic Ammonia Oxidation











Response to Pulse of Inhibitor of Aerobic Ammonia Oxidation

"Amplification Envelope" of R1 and R4 in response to 500 μ g/L ATU perturbation



Response to Pulse of Inhibitor of Aerobic Ammonia Oxidation

"Amplification Envelope" of R1 and R4 in response to 500 μ g/L ATU perturbation



Response to Pulse of Inhibitor of Aerobic Ammonia Oxidation

"Amplification Envelope" of R1 and R4 in response to 500 μ g/L ATU perturbation



Response to Pulse of Inhibitor of Aerobic Ammonia Oxidation

500 μg/L ATU Pulse

Reactor	Resilience (d)	Resistance (%)	Stability Parameter (d-%)
R1	0.67	0.86	0.41
R2	0.77	0.92	0.39
R3	0.66	0.96	0.35
R4	0.54	0.68	0.17
R5	0.48	1.00	0.30
R6	0.49	0.94	0.12

 MBBRs (biofilm systems) displayed significantly <u>increased response to</u> <u>perturbation</u> (higher stability parameter, p<0.05) relative to suspended growth reactors.

Synthesis of Response to Transient Perturbations

O In response to transient temperature and ATU disturbances, we observed:

MBBR (biofilm)

No excess NO_2^- Accumulation

Strong decrease in NH_4^+ depletion rate

Synthesis of Response to Transient Perturbations

o In response to transient temperature and ATU disturbances, we observed:

MBBR (biofilm)	Suspended Growth
No excess NO ₂ - Accumulation	Propensity for strong NO ₂ - accumulation (T perturbation)
Strong decrease in NH ₄ ⁺ depletion rate	Moderate decrease in NH ₄ ⁺ depletion rate

Synthesis of Response to Transient Perturbations

o In response to transient temperature and ATU disturbances, we observed:

MBBR (biofilm)	Suspended Growth
No excess NO ₂ ⁻ Accumulation	Propensity for strong NO ₂ - accumulation (T perturbation)
Strong decrease in NH ₄ ⁺ depletion rate	Moderate decrease in NH ₄ ⁺ depletion rate

- Our results suggest that the MBBRs in this study may be strongly limited by AOB activity
 – and thus may maintain an excess anammox capacity...
- While suspended growth systems exhibited an apparent excess AOB capacity that muted the impact of variations in nitrification activity

Synthesis of Response to Transient Perturbations

o In response to transient temperature and ATU disturbances, we observed:

MBBR (biofilm)	Suspended Growth
No excess NO ₂ ⁻ Accumulation	Propensity for strong NO ₂ - accumulation (T perturbation)
Strong decrease in NH ₄ ⁺ depletion rate	Moderate decrease in NH ₄ ⁺ depletion rate

- Our results suggest that the MBBRs in this study may be strongly limited by AOB activity
 – and thus may maintain an excess anammox capacity...
- While suspended growth systems exhibited an apparent excess AOB capacity that muted the impact of variations in nitrification activity

Suspended growth systems may be more resistant to fluctuations in aerobic ammonia oxidation activity, while MBBR systems may be more resistant to perturbations that predominantly impact anammox activity.

Synthesis of Response to Transient Perturbations

o In response to transient temperature and ATU disturbances, we observed:

MBBR (biofilm)	Suspended Growth
No excess NO ₂ ⁻ Accumulation	Propensity for strong NO ₂ - accumulation (T perturbation)
Strong decrease in NH ₄ ⁺ depletion rate	Moderate decrease in NH ₄ ⁺ depletion rate

- Our results suggest that the MBBRs in this study may be strongly limited by AOB activity
 – and thus may maintain an excess anammox capacity...
- While suspended growth systems exhibited an apparent excess AOB capacity that muted the impact of variations in nitrification activity



<u>Ongoing Work:</u> Enrichment of Deammonification Biofilms under Mainstream Conditions- Linking Mesoscale Aggregate Structure to Emergent Function



Ongoing Work: Enrichment of Deammonification Biofilms under Mainstream Conditions: Linking Mesoscale Aggregate Structure to Emergent Function



Ongoing Work: Can deammonification be coupled to C removal in mainstream MBBRs?

MBBR:

3 Compartments in Series Loaded with real primary effluent from the O'Brien WRP

Initial Target:

 Sustained partial nitritation (NOB outcompetition)

Final Target:

- COD removal/ Nitritation in Tank 1
- Full deammonification
 in Tank 2
- Anammox in Tank 3



<u>Ongoing Work:</u> Can deammonification be coupled to C removal in mainstream MBBRs with real wastewater?

Compartment 1





Compartment 2



Compartment 3



<u>Ongoing Work:</u> Can deammonification be coupled to C removal in mainstream MBBRs with real wastewater?

MBBR Performance Snapshot 7/28/2015-7/29/2015



Initial results suggest successful NOB outcompetition in a biofilm system (compartments M1 and M2) under mainstream conditions

Take Away Points

- New understanding of N cycle microbial ecology is leading to emerging sustainable bioprocesses for nutrient removal and recovery of "misplaced resources"
- Mainstream deammonification has extraordinary promise, but is in its infancy, with key remaining challenges to be addressed
- Deammonification process variations harboring different aggregate types display starkly different patterns of performance and stability



Sustainable Environmental and Public Health Protection *and* Resource Recovery from Waste

Acknowledgements



HanJimJimmyAlexGaoGriffinDingRosenthal





David Weissbrodt

Eberhard Morgenroth

Adriano Joss





Nathalie Hubaux

Dave Johnson

Helmut Bürgmann



