Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Chicago

December 31, 2011 Actuarial Valuation of Retiree Health Care Benefits under GASB 43

Prepared by PricewaterhouseCoopers, LLP

March 2012



ACTUARIAL CERTIFICATION

We are pleased to present this report containing the results of the December 31, 2011 actuarial valuation of Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Chicago's Postretirement Retiree Health Care Benefit Plan, pursuant to our engagement letter with Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Chicago dated October 15, 2009.

Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Chicago retained PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP ("PwC") to perform an actuarial valuation of its Retiree Health Care Benefit Plan ("the Plan") for the purposes of calculating the necessary information for accounting and reporting requirements in accordance with Government Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 43 (GASB 43). This valuation has been conducted in accordance with the required Actuarial Standards of Practice as issued by the American Academy of Actuaries.

Actuarial calculations under GASB 43 are for purposes of fulfilling the Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Chicago's financial accounting requirements. The calculations reported herein have been made on a basis consistent with our understanding of GASB 43.

In preparing the results presented in this report, we have relied upon information Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Chicago provided to us regarding plan provisions, plan participants, claims and premium data, unaudited plan assets and benefit payments. The census data, claims data and plan asset information used in calculating the results herein were collected as of December 31, 2011 for active members, retirees, survivors and dependents. While the scope of our engagement did not call for us to perform an audit or independent verification of this information, we have reviewed this information for reasonableness. The accuracy of the results presented in this report is dependent upon the accuracy and completeness of the underlying information.

GASB 43 requires that each significant assumption reflect the best estimate of the Plan's future experience solely with respect to that assumption. Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Chicago has determined and taken responsibility for the actuarial assumptions and the accounting policies and methods employed in the valuation of obligations and costs under GASB 43.

A range of results, different from those presented in this report could be considered reasonable. Future actuarial measurements may differ significantly from the current measurement presented in this report due to a number of factors including but not limited to: plan experience differing from that anticipated by the economic and demographic assumptions, increases or decreases expected as part of the natural operation of the methods used for these measurements (such as the end of an amortization period or additional cost or contribution requirements based on the plan's funded status), rounding conventions and changes in plan provisions or applicable law. Due to the limited scope of this report, an analysis of the potential range of such future measurements has not been performed.

This report was prepared for the internal use of Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Chicago in connection with our actuarial valuation of the Plan and not for reliance by any other person. PwC disclaims any contractual or other responsibility or duty of care to others based upon the services or deliverables provided in connection with this report.

This report does not purport to comply with any other purposes not stated herein. Significantly different results from what is presented in this report may be needed for other purposes.

This document was not intended or written to be used, and it cannot be used, for the purpose of avoiding U.S. federal, state or local tax penalties. This includes penalties that may apply if the transaction that is the subject of this document is found to lack economic substance or fails to satisfy any other similar rule of law.

The undersigned actuaries are members of the Society of Actuaries and the American Academy of Actuaries and meet the "Qualification Standards for Actuaries Issuing Statements of Actuarial Opinion in the United States" relating to postretirement medical and life insurance plans. To the best of our knowledge, the individuals involved in this engagement have no relationship that may impair, or appear to impair, the objectivity of our work.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Chicago. We are available to answer any questions with respect to our report.

Respectfully submitted,

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LLP

Jack Abraham

Fellow of the Society of Actuaries

John & show

Enrolled Actuary

Member of the American Academy of Actuaries

Jill Stockard

Fellow of the Society of Actuaries

Member of the American Academy of Actuaries

ie Hockenel

March 19, 2012

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		<u>Pages</u>
SECTION I	OVERVIEW	1 – 3
SECTION II	VALUATION RESULTS	4 – 9
SECTION III	CENSUS DATA	10 – 12
SECTION IV	ASSUMPTIONS AND METHODS	13 – 17
SECTION V	SUMMARY OF PLAN PROVISIONS	18 – 19
SECTION VI	GLOSSARY	20 - 22

SECTION I: OVERVIEW

GASB 43

GASB 43 provides the employer's responsibility for accounting and financial reporting for all postemployment benefits other than pensions. Such benefits are commonly referred to as "other postemployment benefits" (OPEB). This report contains the actuarial valuation of the retiree health care benefits as of December 31, 2011, which applies to the fiscal year ending December 31, 2011.

Valuation Basis

This valuation covers the retiree health care benefits that MWRD provides to its members and their dependents. Plan benefits include:

- Medical benefits
- Prescription drug benefits

The valuation includes the following membership groups:

- Active members
- Retired members
- Survivors of retired members
- Dependents

This valuation is based upon the plan provisions in effect on July 1, 2011 as well as changes to the plan subsequent to this date that have been approved. We have collected the active, retiree, survivor, and dependent membership census data as of December 31, 2011.

The GASB 43 discount rate is the expected rate of return on the assets used to pay the benefits. If the retiree health care benefits are not pre-funded, GASB 43 specifies the use of a discount rate that reflects the expected return on the general assets of the plan sponsor. For plans with a contribution rate sufficient to cover the long term costs, the discount rate is equal to the long-term rate of return on plan assets. For plans that are pre-funded, but the contribution rate is below the long-term required rate (partially funded), the discount rate is a blend of the expected return on the sponsor's general assets and the plan assets.

For MWRD, the assumed rate of return on the sponsor's general assets is 3.0%, and the assumed rate of return on the plan assets is 7.00%. As of December 31, 2011, the contribution rate to fund the retiree health care benefits is greater than the GASB 43 Annual Required Contribution (ARC). Since the contribution rate is sufficient to cover long-term costs, the GASB 43 discount rate is the assumed rate of return on the plan assets. For the fiscal year ending December 31, 2011 the GASB 43 discount rate is 7.00%.



SECTION I: OVERVIEW

Annual Required Contribution (ARC)

The ARC is the employer's periodic required contribution to an OPEB plan determined in accordance with the plan provisions, demographic data, actuarial assumptions, actuarial cost method, and other actuarial methods prescribed by GASB 43. The ARC represents the employer contribution that would be needed each year to fund the retiree health care benefits over a period not exceeding 30 years. The ARC in the valuation contained herein is based upon amortizing the unfunded liability over a period of 30 years as a level percentage of payroll. The ARC will generally increase with payroll growth, and is subject to gains/losses resulting from demographic and/or assumption changes.

The ARC for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2012 is 16.16% of projected payroll, or \$27 million. This amount consists of 5.13% to fund the normal cost, and 11.03% to fund the amortization of unfunded past liabilities over 30 years. This compares with an ARC of 22.57% of projected payroll for fiscal year ending December 31, 2010, or \$40 million.

Changes From Prior Valuation

The following outlines the changes since the prior valuation:

- The mortality assumption was updated to include projected mortality improvements on a fully generational basis.
- The retiree and spouse participation assumptions were changed from 100% and 60% to 90% and 76%, respectively.
- The average age of spouses was changed from males 4 years older than females to males 3 years older than females.
- The assumed distribution of future benefit recipients among the individual health plans has been revised based on actual 2011 retiree elections.
- The per capita claims costs have been updated based on premiums in effect as of July 1, 2011 and historical claims data.
- The medical trend rates have been updated to better reflect anticipated medical cost inflation.
- The plan was changed to increase the required retiree contributions from the 2011 rate (approximately 20%) to ultimately reach 50% of expected plan costs by 2021.
- For participants hired on or after April 1, 1986, the percentage assumed to enroll in Medicare upon reaching eligibility was changed from 90% to 100%. For participants hired prior to April 1, 1986, the percentage assumed to enroll in Medicare upon reaching eligibility was changed from 90% to 93%.



SECTION I: OVERVIEW

Excise Tax

The December 31, 2011 valuation reflects the impact of the 40% excise tax on high cost plans as a result of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act ("PPACA") signed into law on March 23, 2010. The impact of this provision is estimated to increase the accrued liability as of December 31, 2011 by 2.6%.



Exhibit I
Summary of Valuation Results
(\$ in thousands)

Valuation as of	December	31, 2011	December	r 31, 2009
Membership Data				
Active members		1,893		2,095
Retirees and survivors receiving coverage		1,977		1,900
Dependent spouses receiving coverage		912		876
Projected Membership Payroll ¹	\$	168,716	\$	176,527
GASB 43 Discount Rate		7.00%		6.30%
Accrued Liability				
Active members	\$	152,267	\$	253,693
Retirees, survivors, and dependents		242,409		<u>272,783</u>
Total	\$	394,676	\$	526,476
Retiree Healthcare Trust Assets	\$	54,996	\$	47,891
Unfunded Liability	\$	339,680	\$	478,585
Funded Ratio		13.93%		9.1%
Annual Required Contribution (as percentage of projected payroll) ²				
Normal cost		5.13%		8.96%
Amortization of unfunded liability		<u>11.03%</u>		13.61%
Total		16.16%		22.57%
Annual Required Contribution				
Normal cost	\$	8,651	\$	15,822
Amortization of unfunded liability		18,613		24,025
Total	\$	27,264	\$	39,847
Contribution to Fund Retiree Health Care Benefits				
Scheduled contribution	\$	12,400	\$	0
Expected net pay-as-you-go claims costs ³		22,130		20,114
Total contribution	\$	34,530	\$	20,114



¹Payroll is shown on a calendar year basis. The December 31, 2011 figure is based on the actual payroll for the year ending December 31, 2011, projected forward 12 months with the assumed rate of payroll growth. The December 31, 2009 figure is based on the actual payroll for the year ending December 31, 2009, projected forward 12 months with the assumed rate of payroll growth.

²The ARC is shown as a percentage of the projected payroll for the upcoming year.

³The contribution for the year ending December 31, 2012 includes net expected benefit payments of \$22 million as benefits are not paid from plan assets. The contribution for the year ending December 31, 2010 includes the actual benefit payments of \$20 million made during 2010.



Exhibit II

Reconciliation of the Change in Unfunded Liability (\$ inthousands)

Unfunded liability as of December 31, 2009	\$ 478,585	
Expected unfunded liability as of December 31, 2011	\$ 532,210	
Decrease in unfunded liability due to investment return greater than expected	(881)	
Increase in unfunded liability due to changes in census ¹	25,289	
Increase in unfunded liability due to change in mortality assumption ²	44,545	
Decrease in unfunded liability due to participation and spousal assumptions ³	(3,754)	
Decrease in unfunded liability due to net claims lower than expected	(26,247)	
Increase in unfunded liability due to increase in healthcare trend rates	6,252	
Decrease in unfunded liability due to changes in contributions ⁴	(179,916)	
Decrease in unfunded liability due to Medicare election assumption change ⁵	(31,766)	
Decrease in unfunded liability due to change in discount rate	(36,169)	
Increase in unfunded liability due to impact of Excise Tax ⁶	 10,117	
Total increase/(decrease) in unfunded liability	\$ (192,530)	
Unfunded liability as of December 31, 2011	\$ 339,680	

¹Change due to census assumes Medicare enrolment for all post-65 retirees unchanged from fiscal year 2010.



² The mortality assumption was updated to include projected mortality improvements on a fully generational basis.

³Change due to the retiree participation assumption (100% to 90%), spouse participation assumption (60% to 76%), and spouse age assumption (+/- 4 yrs to +/- 3 yrs), and PPO election % (based on current retiree enrollment).

⁴Change due to increasing retiree contributions from the 2011 rate (approximately 20%) up to 50% in 2021.

⁵Change due to 100% Medicare enrollment of all actives and pre-65 retirees hired on or after April 1, 1986 upon attaining age 65.

⁶The December 31, 2011 valuation reflects the impact of the 40% excise tax on high cost plans as a result of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act ("PPACA") signed into law on March 23, 2010.

Exhibit III
Retiree Healthcare Trust Assets (\$ in thousands)

	 2011	2010	2009
Balance as of January 1	\$ 52,153	\$ 47,891	\$ 47,797
Contributions			
Employer	\$ 18,020	\$ 15,517	\$ 14,592
Retirees	5,577	4,597	4,210
Medicare Part D subsidies	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
Total	\$ 23,597	\$ 20,114	\$ 18,802
Payments			
Benefit payments and administrative fees	\$ 20,627	\$ 20,144	\$ 18,821
Investment Return			
Asset earnings	\$ (82)	\$ 4,338	\$ 159
Investment expenses	<u>(45)</u>	<u>(46)</u>	<u>(46)</u>
Total	\$ (127)	\$ 4,292	\$ 113
Balance as of December 31	\$ 54,996	\$ 52,153	\$ 47,891
Rate of Investment Return (net of administrative expenses)	-0.2%	9.0%	0.2%



Exhibit IVRequired Supplementary Information – Schedule of Employer Contributions (\$ in thousands)

Fiscal Year Ended December 31	Annual Required Contributions	Actual Contributions	Percentage Contributed
2007	\$44,739	\$37,334	83%
2008	\$44,739	\$35,819	80%
2009	\$39,847	\$14,592	37%
2010	\$39,847	\$15,517	39%
2011	\$27,264	\$18,020	66%

Exhibit V
Required Supplementary Information – Schedule of Funding Progress (\$ in thousands)

Valuation as of December 31	Actuarial Value of Assets (a)	Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL) (b)	Unfunded/ (Overfunded) AAL (UAAL) (b) - (a)	Funded Ratio (a) ÷ (b)	Covered Payroll ¹ (c)	UAAL as a Percentage of Covered Payroll [(b) - (a)] ÷ (c)
2007	25,025	442,683	417,658	5.7%	158,832	263.0%
2008	47,797	487,820	440,023	9.8%	167,865	262.1%
2009	47,891	526,476	478,585	9.1%	170,392	280.9%
2010	52,153	555,679	503,526	9.4%	172,273	292.3%
2011	54,996	394,676	339,680	13.9%	162,853	208.6%

¹Covered payroll for the calendar year ending on the valuation date.



Exhibit VI

Annual OPEB Cost and Net OPEB Obligation (\$ in thousands)

Annual required contribution for 2011	\$ 27,264
Interest on net OPEB obligation	4,643
Adjustment to annual required contribution	(3,636)
Annual OPEB cost	28,271
Contributions made during 2011	(18,020)
Increase in net OPEB obligation	10,251
Net OPEB obligation as of December 31, 2010	66,329
Net OPEB obligation as of December 31, 2011	\$ 76,580

Exhibit VII

$\label{lem:continuous} \textbf{Required Supplementary Information} - \textbf{Summary of Key Actuarial Methods and Assumptions}$

Valuation date December 31, 2011
Actuarial cost method Projected unit credit

Amortization method 30 years, open, level percent of pay

Asset valuation method Fair market value

Actuarial assumptions:

Discount rate 7.00% per annum Inflation rate 3.00% per annum Projected payroll growth rate 3.6% per annum

Heath care cost trend rates

Initial RateUltimate RateUltimate Year8.5%5.0%2018



SECTION III: CENSUS DATA

Exhibit VIII Summary of Membership Data (\$ in thousands)

Valuation as of December 31	2011	2009
Active Members		
Eligible for retiree healthcare benefits	479	493
Not yet eligible for retiree healthcare benefits	<u>1,414</u>	<u>1,602</u>
Total	1,893	2,095
Projected payroll ¹	\$ 168,716	\$ 176,527
Retired Members and Survivors, and Dependents Enrolled in Health Care		
Retirees	1,471	1,382
Survivors	506	518
Dependent spouses	<u>912</u>	<u>876</u>
Total	2,889	2,776
Active Participant Characterisitics		
Average age	49.40	49.10
Average past service	13.59	13.21
Average age of inactive participants	73.12	73.10

¹Payroll is shown on a calendar year basis. The December 31, 2011 figure is based on the actual payroll for the year ending December 31, 2011, projected forward 12 months with the assumed rate of payroll growth. The December 31, 2009 figure is based on the actual payroll for the year ending December 31, 2009, projected forward 12 months with the assumed rate of payroll growth.



SECTION III: CENSUS DATA

Exhibit IX
Schedule of Active Member Valuation Data

Fiscal Year	Members in Service	% Change	Covered <u>Payroll</u>	% Change	Average <u>Salary</u>	% Change	Payroll Growth Assumption
2007	1,964	-	158,831,772	-	80,872	-	-
2009	2,095	6.7%	170,392,445	7.3%	81,333	0.6%	3.6%
2011	1,893	(9.6%)	162,853,163	(4.4%)	86,029	5.8%	3.6%

 $\frac{\textbf{Exhibit X}}{\textbf{Summary of Active Members by Age and Service}}$

Years of Service										
Age	<u>0 - 4</u>	<u>5 -9</u>	<u>10 - 14</u>	<u>15 - 19</u>	<u>20 - 24</u>	<u>25 - 29</u>	<u>30 - 34</u>	<u>35 - 39</u>	<u>40+</u>	<u>Total</u>
Under 25	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5
25 to 29	51	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	59
30 to 34	63	50	12	-	-	-	-	-	-	125
35 to 39	59	43	50	6	-	-	-	-	-	158
40 to 44	48	38	88	30	29	-	-	-	-	233
45 to 49	47	49	83	62	83	19	1	-	-	344
50 to 54	51	46	80	48	114	41	7	-	-	387
55 to 59	34	33	75	52	91	34	7	3	-	329
60 to 64	13	31	46	21	38	7	5	4	-	165
65 to 69	1	11	24	12	13	4	2	1	-	68
70 & up	<u>0</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>	1	<u>1</u>	<u>20</u>
Total	372	310	466	234	371	107	23	9	1	1,893



SECTION III: CENSUS DATA

Exhibit XI
Schedule of Retirees and Beneficiaries Added to and Removed from Rolls

Fiscal <u>Year</u>	Beginning of Year <u>Balance</u>	Number Added to Rolls	Number Removed <u>from Rolls</u>	End of Year <u>Balance</u>	Health Care Annual Benefit <u>Amounts</u>	Average Annual <u>Benefit</u>	% Change in Average Benefit
2007 2009 2011	1,850 1,873 1,900	150 183 221	127 156 144	1,873 1,900 1,977	\$ 16,159,127 \$ 18,802,036 \$ 20,596,842	\$ 8,627 \$ 9,896 \$ 10,418	14.7% 5.3%

Exhibit XII Breakdown of Benefit Plan Enrolment

	Benefit Plan						
Enrollee Group	PPO	НМО	Total				
Benefit Recipient	1,571	406	1,977				
Spouse	<u>689</u>	<u>223</u>	<u>912</u>				
Grand Total	2,260	629	2,889				



Valuation Date	December 31, 2011	
Discount Rate	7.00% per annum	
Payroll Increase Rate	3.6% per annum	
Inflation Rate	3.0% per annum	

Health Care Trend Rates

Year	Medical
2012	8.5
2013	7.9
2014	7.3
2015	6.7
2016	6.1
2017	5.5
2018+	5.0

Member Participation

For the December 31, 2011 valuation, 90% of future eligible service retirees are assumed to elect coverage. 76% of these members are assumed to have a spouse who elects coverage with males assumed to be 3 years older than females.

For the December 31, 2009 valuation, 100% of future eligible service retirees were assumed to elect coverage. 60% of these members were assumed to have a spouse who elects coverage with males assumed to be 4 years older than females

Future enrollees are assumed to elect medical plans in the same proportion as the 2011 elections for the current enrollees. The current breakdown is 21.8% HMO, 78.2% PPO.

Medicare Status

For the December 31, 2011 valuation, 100% of members are assumed to enroll in Medicare if eligible and hired on or after April 1, 1986. 93% of members are assumed to enroll in Medicare if eligible and hired prior to April 1, 1986.

For the December 31, 2009 valuation, 90% of members were assumed to enroll in Medicare if eligible.



Annual Per Capita Claims

We have developed the claims tables for the 2011 valuation using the 2011 premium rates (including administration costs) and historical claims data. The following tables summarize the assumed per capita claims (medical and prescription drugs) at illustrative ages:

PPO Plans

Age	Enrolled in Medicare	Not Enrolled in Medicare
40		
40	\$ 4,882	\$ 4,882
45	6,447	6,447
50	8,909	8,909
55	11,877	11,877
60	14,893	14,893
64	17,081	17,081
65	6,356	14,568
70	6,906	17,461
75	7,364	20,103
80	7,589	21,935
85	7,530	22,585
90	7,165	21,899
95	6,498	19,843

HMO Plans

	Enrolled in	Not Enrolled in
Age	Medicare	Medicare
40	\$ 3,405	\$ 3,405
45	4,424	4,424
50	6,011	6,011
55	7,907	7,907
60	9,826	9,826
64	11,219	11,219
65	7,228	10,305
70	7,928	12,378
75	8,520	14,261
80	8,839	15,556
85	8,775	15,975
90	8,444	15,484
95	7,708	13,985



Annual Member Premiums¹

	PPO Plans	HMO Plans
Benefit Recipient without Medicare		
Pre-65	\$ 3,426	\$ 2,260
Post-65	3,426	2,260
Benefit Recipient with Medicare		
Pre-65	\$ 3,426	\$ 2,260
Post-65	1,642	1,903
Spouse without Medicare		
Pre-65	\$ 3,426	\$ 1,976
Post-65	3,426	1,976
Spouse with Medicare		
Pre-65	\$ 3,426	\$ 1,976
Post-65	1,644	1,903

¹The required retiree contributions are scheduled to increase from the 2011 rate (approximately 20%) up to 50% in 2021. The contributions as shown above for 2012 reflect the increase in the contribution percentage from 2011 to 2012.



Retirement Rates

Employees are assumed to retire according to the following illustrative schedule:

Age	Rate	Age	Rate
49	0.0%	60	15.4%
50	5.6	61	12.6
51	6.3	62	15.4
52	7.0	63	14.0
53	7.7	64	14.0
54	8.4	65	21.0
55	9.1	66	21.0
56	9.8	67	21.0
57	10.5	68	21.0
58	11.2	69	21.0
59	11.9	70	100.0

Mortality

For the December 31, 2011 valuation, RP-2000 Mortality Table with fully generational mortality improvements projected using Scale AA.

For the December 31, 2009 valuation, the UP-94 Mortality Table was used with males set back one year and females set forward one year.



Withdra	wal Rates	S	-	•				n age, entre these rate	
Males	16.04	25.20	20.24		Entry Age	45.40	50.54	55.50	60 :
Age	16-24	25-29	30-34	35-39	40-44	45-49	50-54	55-59	60+
20	10.6%	- 7.70/	-	-	-	-	-	_	-
25	8.0	7.7%	- 6 10/	-	-	-	-	-	-
30	4.2	5.8	6.1%	- 5 (0/	-	-	-	-	-
35	1.9	3.3	4.3	5.6%	- 5 40/	-	-	-	-
40	0.7	1.6	2.3	4.0	5.4%	- 4 00/	-	_	-
45	0.2	0.6	1.3	2.1	3.7	4.8%	- 5.40/	_	-
50	0.0	0.2	0.4	0.6	1.0	3.5	5.4%	2.20/	-
55	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.1	3.4	3.2%	-
60	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.8	1.3	2.2%
65	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.9
67	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Females					Entry Age				
Age	16-24	25-29	30-34	35-39	40-44	45-49	50-54	55-59	60+
20	10.6%	=	_	-	-	-	-	=	_
25	8.8	8.3%	_	-	-	-	_	-	_
30	5.8	6.0	5.8%	-	-	_	_	-	_
35	3.1	3.1	4.4	4.2%	-	_	_	-	_
40	1.4	1.6	2.6	3.4	3.2%	-	_	_	_
45	0.5	0.7	1.5	2.1	2.2	2.0%	_	-	_
50	0.2	0.2	0.5	0.6	0.6	1.8	2.2%	_	_
55	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	1.7	2.2%	_
60	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.9	1.9%
65	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.8
67	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Disabilit	y Inciden	ce Rates	No d	isability ir	ncidence ra	ates are us	sed		
A -4	1 C4 M	-411	D!	-4- 1 TI-24	G				
Actuaria	al Cost M	etnoa	Proje	ected Unit	Cost				
Actuaria	al Asset M	lethod	Fair	Market Va	llue				
Data		Active, retiree, survivor and dependent census data as of December 31, 2011 was supplied by MWRD							



SECTION V: SUMMARY OF PLAN PROVISIONS

Eligibility Requirements

Retirees and their dependents that fulfill the eligibility requirements can receive postretirement medical and prescription drug coverage. The eligibility requirements are the earlier of:

- Age 55 and 10 years of service;
- Age + service greater than or equal to 80

Benefits commence at retirement, provided the eligibility requirements are fulfilled and persist for the lifetime of the retirees and their spouses

Healthcare Benefits

	HMO Illinois Blue Cross B		Slue Shield PPO	
	(Blue Cross HMO)	In Network PPO	Non-PPO Network	
Annual Deductible	None	\$300 per person;	\$300 per person;	
		\$900 per family	\$900 family	
Annual Out-of-Pocket	None	\$1,500 per person;	\$3,000 per person;	
Maximum		\$4,000 per family	\$9,000 per family	
Outpatient Physician				
Office Visits	\$20 copay	90%	90% of U&C	
Diagnostic Testing	Covered in full	90%	80% of U&C	
Outpatient Surgeon	Covered in full	90%	70% of U&C	
Routine Physical Exams	Covered in full	Not covered	Not covered	
Routine Pediatric Exams	Covered in full	Not covered	Not covered	
Immunizations	Covered in full	Not covered	Not covered	
Allergy Shots	Covered in full	90%	90% of U&C	
Hearing Screening	Covered in full	Not covered	Not covered	
Physical, Occupational, or Speech Therapy	60 days per year	90%	90% of U&C	
Podiatry Care	Covered in full	90%	90% of U&C	
Cosmetic Surgery	Covered in full	90%	90% of U&C	
Oral Surgery	Covered in full	90%	90% of U&C	
		(Limited services)	(Limited services)	
Hospital				
Room and Board	Covered in full	90%	80% of U&C	
Number of Days	Unlimited	Unlimited, subject to	Unlimited, subject to	
•		medical necessity	medical necessity	
Intensive Care	Covered in full	90%	80% of U&C	
Inpatient Surgery	Covered in full	90%	80% of U&C	
Outpatient Surgery	Covered in full	90%	70% of U&C	
Skilled Nursing Facility	120 days per year	90%	80% of U&C	
Emergency Treatment	\$50 copay (waived if admitted to ER)	90%	90% of U&C	
Physicians Visits	Covered in full	90%	90% of U&C	
Specialist Visits	Covered in full	90%	90% of U&C	
Anesthesiologist	Covered in full	90%	90% of U&C	



SECTION V: SUMMARY OF PLAN PROVISIONS

Surgery	Covered in full	90%	90% of U&C
	HMO Illinois	Blue Cross Bl	ue Shield PPO
	(Blue Cross HMO)	In Network PPO	Non-PPO Network
Maternity	1		
Delivery, including	\$20 copay for 1st	90%	90% of U&C
pre/post-natal visits	visit		
Mental Health/Chemica	l Dependency		
Outpatient Visits - Mental	\$20 copay per visit	50% of U&C, \$2,000	50% of U&C, \$2,000
Health		annual max	annual max
Inpatient Care - Mental	30 days per year	90%, 60 day max	80%, 60 day max
Health			
Outpatient Visits -	\$20 copay per visit	50% of U&C, \$2,000	50% of U&C, \$2,000
Chemical Dependency		annual max	annual max
Inpatient Care - Chemical	30 days per year	90%, 30 day max	80%, 30 day max
Dependency			
Emergency Care			,
Ambulance	Covered in full	90%	90% of U&C
Prescriptions			
Retail (30 days):			
Generic	\$9 copay	\$9 copay	\$9 copay
			+ 75% after copay
Formulary Brand	\$25 copay	\$25 copay	\$25 copay
			+ 75% after copay
Non-Formulary Brand	\$45 copay	\$45 copay	\$45 copay
N. 11.0.1(00.1)			+ 75% after copay
Mail Order (90 days):	010	\$10	ф10
Generic	\$18 copay	\$18 copay	\$18 copay
Formulawy Brand	¢50 copey	\$50 acress	+ 75% after copay
Formulary Brand	\$50 copay	\$50 copay	\$50 copay + 75% after copay
Non-Formulary	\$90 copay	\$90 copay	\$90 copay
Non-Pormulary	фло сорау	ф <i>7</i> 0 сорау	+ 75% after copay
Additional Services			+ 75% arter copay
Prosthetic Devices & DME	Covered in full	90%	90% of U&C
Blood	Covered in full	90%	90% of U&C
Home Health Services	Covered in full	90%	90% of U&C
Vision Services	\$20 copay	Not covered	Not covered
Wellness Care	\$20 сорау	Not covered	140t covered
Includes routine:	Covered in full	100%, \$600 annual	100%, \$600 annual
Adult and pediatric	Covered in full		
physical checkup		max (Services not subject	max (Services not subject to
Well baby care		to annual maximum:	annual maximum:
Pre-School exams		Routine mammogram,	Routine mammogram,
Immunizations including		annual routine pap	annual routine pap
shingles vaccine		smear test, PSA and	smear test, PSA and
Lab and x-ray		digital rectal exam)	digital rectal exam)
Bone density testing		<i>6</i> ···· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<i>B</i>
Sleep study			
EKG			



SECTION VI: GLOSSARY

Actuarial Accrued Liability

That portion, as determined by a particular Actuarial Cost Method, of the Present Value of Future Benefits (PVFB) and expenses which is not provided for by future Normal Costs. Generally this means the portion of the PVFB attributable to past service.

Actuarial Assumptions

Assumptions as to the occurrence of future events affecting OPEB costs, such as: mortality, withdrawal, disablement and retirement; changes in compensation and Government provided benefits; rates of investment earnings and asset appreciation or depreciation; procedures used to determine the Actuarial Value of Assets; characteristics of future entrants for Open Group Actuarial Cost Methods; and other relevant items.

Actuarial Cost Method

A procedure for determining an actuarially equivalent allocation of the present value of future benefits (PVFB) to time periods, usually in the form of a Normal Cost and an Actuarial Accrued Liability.

Actuarial Valuation

The determination, as of a valuation date, of the Normal Cost, Actuarial Accrued Liability, Actuarial Value of Assets, and related Actuarial Present Values for an OPEB plan.

Actuarial Valuation Date

The date as of which an actuarial valuation is performed.

Actuarial Value of Assets

The value of cash, investments and other property belonging to an OPEB plan, as used by the actuary for the purpose of an Actuarial Valuation.

Annual Required Contributions (ARC)

The employer's periodic required contributions to a defined benefit OPEB plan, calculated in accordance with the plan provisions, actuarial assumptions, actuarial cost method and other actuarial method prescribed by GASB 43.

Discount Rate

The rate equal to the expected return on invested assets relative to the assets that will be used to pay for the benefits specified by the OPEB plan.



SECTION VI: GLOSSARY

Funding Policy

The program for the amounts and timing of contributions to be made by plan members, employer, and other contributing entities (for example, state government contributions to a local government plan) to provide the benefits specified by an OPEB plan.

Healthcare Cost Trend

The rate of change in per capita health claims costs over time as a result of factors such as medical inflation, utilization of healthcare services, plan design, and technological developments.

Level Percentage of Projected Payroll Amortization Method

Amortization payments are calculated so that they are a constant percentage of the projected payroll of active plan members over a given number of years. The dollar amount of the payments generally will increase over time as payroll increases due to inflation; in dollars adjusted for inflation, the payments can be expected to remain level.

Normal Cost (NC)

That portion of the present value of future benefits which is allocated to a valuation year by the Actuarial Cost Method. The normal cost is specific to the cost method used.

Other Postemployment Benefits (OPEB)

Postemployment benefits other than pension benefits. Other postemployment benefits (OPEB) include postemployment healthcare benefits, regardless of the type of plan that provides them, and all postemployment benefits provided separately from a pension plan, excluding benefits defined as termination offers and benefits. Other types of OPEB benefits include postemployment life insurance, educational benefits, dues, discounts, and any other postemployment non-pension benefit.

Pay-As-You-Go

A method of financing an OPEB plan under which the contributions to the plan are generally made at about the same time and in about the same amount as benefit payments and expenses becoming due.

Payroll Growth Rate

An actuarial assumption with respect to future increases in total covered payroll attributable to inflation; used in applying the level percentage of projected payroll amortization method.



SECTION VI: GLOSSARY

Per Capita Costs

Expected benefit cost for the valuation year on a per individual basis. Per capita costs represent the expected benefit payments specific to the characteristics of the plan participants; subsidies to or from another employee benefit group are not permitted.

Plan Assets

Resources, usually in the form of stocks, bonds, and other classes of investments, that have been segregated and restricted in a trust, or equivalent arrangement, in which (a) employer contributions to the plan are irrevocable, (b) assets are dedicated to providing benefits to retirees and their beneficiaries, and (c) assets are legally protected from creditors of the employer(s) or plan administrator, for the payment of benefits in accordance with the terms of the plan.

Plan Members

The individuals covered by the terms of an OPEB plan. The plan membership generally includes employees in active service, terminated employees who have accumulated benefits but are not yet receiving them, and retired employees and beneficiaries currently receiving benefits.

Postemployment Healthcare Benefits

Medical and other health-related benefits and reimbursements provided to terminated or retired employees and their dependents and beneficiaries.

Present Value of Future Benefits (PVFB)

Projected benefits estimated to be payable to plan members (retirees and beneficiaries, terminated employees entitled to benefits but not yet receiving them, and current active members upon retirement) as a result of their service through the valuation date and their expected future service. The actuarial present value of projected future benefits as of the valuation date is the present value of the cost to finance benefits payable in the future, discounted to reflect the expected effects of the time value (present value) of money and the probabilities of payment (taking into account mortality, turnover, probability of participating in plan retirement, etc.). Alternatively, it is the amount that would have to be invested on the valuation date so that the amount invested plus investment earnings will provide sufficient assets to pay the projected benefits when due. Generally the PVFB includes expenses associated with the plan (claims adjudication, investment, etc.).

Substantive Plan

The terms of an OPEB plan as understood by the employer(s) and plan members. If administrative practices are different from the written plan benefits and are expected to continue, then the substantive plan is based on such administrative practices.

