Category	Component	R equirem ent/P rocess	R efinem entsforATP
Compliance III etrics		Il ote: I here are not any reliable statistics: for <u>current</u> baseline status for any of these potential metrics	ll uantifiedmetricstobedevelopedduringShortTerm Program consistentwithourrentbeselinedatatobe gathered
	O utcome B assed III etrics  - I eduction in B assement backups	Elim ination of wetweather basement backupsunder design stom conditions     Establish CIII (III Service level Goals	
	• र eduction in II et II eather3 anitary3 ev en II venflows	•Elim ination of sanitary saw eroverflow sunderdesign storm conditions	
	Row Based Metrics •1 eduction in peak wet weather flow sifrom separate sawerareas	<ul> <li>tecluction in peak flow to average dry w eather flow ratio under design storm conditions (Alternative strategy could be based on maximum peak flow per length of main saw errunder design storm conditions)</li> </ul>	•R equiresoboum entation from W W R D on existing interceptor sew ercepecity
	•1 eduction in annual wetweatherflow volume from separate sawer areas	∙lt eduction in annual wet weather flow volume	•R equires aboum entation from W W R D on updated cost- effectiveness analysis forwet weather transport and treatment costs
ShortTerm Program			
	PublicSectorConditionAssessment / Rehabilitation • II anhole Inspection, SmickeT esting, Dye Roading, TV Inspection	Investigation of <u>all</u> separate sanitary saversiv ith initial prioritization on areasiv ith reported overflow sand/orbasement badvups, areasupstreen of reported overflow srbadvups orrecent flow in oritioning oritifistation data	+5 Years for completion of Condition Assessment
		• Il inimum of 50% of the system to be investigated in Short Term Program without documentation of recent prior investigation or recent flow monitoring data documenting peaking factor	•Areasw ith priorinvestigationsw ith docum entation will be excluded from the Condition Assessment requirement
		certace in a green green	<ul> <li>Areasw ith documented peak flow sless than 5:1 peaking factor (peaking factorm ay be refined) will be excluded from the Condition Assessin ent requirement</li> </ul>
		Procedure standards form anhole, snoke, dye and IV inspection Utilization of II AASCO Defect Codings tandards (II ACP, PACP)	
	•Flow II onitoring	<ul> <li>If et season moritoring to prioritize areas for condition assessment beaution peak flow to dry weather flow ratios 50 not need to moritor the design storm to prioritize areas beautiful and use relative response to amonitored storm of intensity less than the design storm</li> </ul>	
	• it ehabilitation	•Procedure standards/forflow monitoring •Rehabilitation of all "HighPriority" defects in III anholes, main sawer and	•High? riority it ehabilitation to be completed within 3 years
		arossocrationswith stam saw en/ditches  "High! norty" could include all direct/indirect connections from stam saw or to sanitary saw or, II similine defects setted 4 or 5 underfl ASCO Coding standards II anhaleswith defects rated 4 or 5 underfl ASCO Coding Standards	offidentification (flexibility to be allow ed for local community issues) - Now report commotions if rivates ector) discovered in sandve testing to be removed within 6 m on this of identification
	P rivate\$ extor investigation and it ehabilitation  -Develop Community\$ pacific\$ trategy for his planentation under Long term 0 & 10 ₱ rogram  -\$ ubm ittal to 10 10 11 0 for review /approval	- III II D to develop "I emplate" for local communities to fill in - AIF Committee to have input on "I emplate" requirements	→P lan submittal to N N P D within 5 Years
	Develop Long I em 0 & II P Lan  - Develop Community's pecifics' trategy  - Submittal to II II II D for review /approvel	-W # R D todeselop"Template" for local communities to fill in -NTP Committee to have input on "Template" requirements	+P lan submittal to W W R D within 5 Years
LongTerm 0 & M Program	<u>Hewblifty</u> for individual community issuessubject to some minimum requirements for all systems Generally following ISPACIIII requirements for santrary sowersystems		
	GB Ni apping	-II pickete saw errotteasand migrate to G.B. platform  Incorporate all condition inspection detainto G.B.  I rask locations/frequency/causesofsanitary saw encountliow sibasam ent badappson(G.B.)	+II II № to excluste providing assistance on software or implementation
	A sact III anagement CII III S	ComputerizedIII aintenanceIII anagementSystem (3IIIIS) forworkorder processing-preventative and en ergancy, custom ensenvice request trading	•U T RD to evaluate providing assistance on software or in plementation
	Proextive? rogram  *Categorize dry w eather overflow sby cause-collapsed pipe, deposition, roots RI G, etc.	-Coduct proactivem einterveros-Cleaning, root nom over/treatment, point repairs lining etc. cripitattibad basisin accordancew ith overflor causes an locations and critical saw or criticals.	•Continuous³ rogram
	<ul> <li>Establish list of artical saw ensbased onli isk Based Criteria-Large dian eter; pipem aterial, rear yard, highway arcestigs over! yearsold, under stream stareeks/invarsfetc.</li> <li>Implement amusel rehabilitation program</li> <li>Flow monitoring</li> </ul>	Incorporate in local community aroual CP Filan Conduct post-rehabilitation flow monitoring	
	Emergency/1 eactive? rogram - System attoresponse tow etweather and dryweather sanitary severoverflow sand basement backups	•it eporting requirem ents to be discussed in subsequent m eeting of ATP Committee	
	PublicSectort ehabilitation  *Arrud program based on initial ( hort1 em Program ) investigationsplusre-inspectionsconducted aspert oflong term plan	et ehabilitation ofin antole and main sawer defects not completed as "High Fitority" defects in short term program plus additional defects discovered in organg Longia m program	
	P rivete's actor investigation/I chabilitation  • I git of inspection • Determ line areasor's yeten subject to investigation • Years to complete inspection • I me ellow offer discorrection • I enispection program • I river in least regions of discorrections • Directly connected foundation drains	I equilited aspert of all local community saw erruss and nerroes I beterm ined by local saw errowner aspert of plan I beterm ined by local saw errowner aspert of plan I beterm ined by local saw errowner aspert of plan I beterm ined by local saw errowner aspert of plan I i hor investigations conducted with chount entation will not require inspection I equilited afficiation from II II II D that directly connected foundation drains	
		w ill not be required to be removed or a defendable cost-effectiveness analysis that supports that theyw ill be required to be disconnected	
	→ roparty transferordinance - Lequirem ents forservice lateral rehabilitation/replacem ent forteardow ns/m ajor renovation	I hisrapirem ent received strong opposition in first draft review by municipal leagues     In hisrapirem ent received strong opposition in first draft review by municipal leagues	<ul> <li>-Establish Subcom mittee during 3 hort I em im plementation processto develop consensusplan</li> <li>Establish Ubcommittee during 3 hort I em im plementation processto develop consensusplan</li> </ul>
	Annual Commitment to System III aintenance and Rehabilitation	<ul> <li>I otal arruad budget för labor, equipment, materials, outside services and capital costs för sanitary sav er system maintenance and rehabilitation/replacement</li> </ul>	- Reporting requirement versus Guideline versus minimum required expenditure?  - To be discussed in upcoming ATP meeting on reporting
		I	