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## DISCLAIMER

Mention of proprietary equipment, computer software, or chemicals in this report does not constitute endorsement by the Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago.

## SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

In 2004 the Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago (District) undertook a three-year study to predict the die-off of fecal coliform (FC) in the receiving streams downstream of the North Side and Calumet Water Reclamation Plants (WRPs). These streams included the North Shore Channel and the North Branch of the Chicago River (North area), and the Little Calumet River and Calumet-Sag Channel (South area), respectively. Currently the effluents of these WRPs are not disinfected. Fecal coliform densities upstream and downstream of the North Side and the Calumet WRPs were measured during dry and wet weather including light rain conditions in which no pumping station discharge occurred and heavy rain conditions in which pumping station discharge did occur.

The purpose of this study was to assess from the collected data whether disinfection of the effluents from these WRPs would significantly reduce the FC load in the receiving streams and result in compliance with the proposed Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (IEPA) effluent standard of no more than $400 \mathrm{cfu} / 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ for discharges to the Chicago Waterway System from March 1 through November 30 (IEPA, 2007).

Fecal coliform densities downstream of these WRPs were shown to die off at an exponential rate, and FC densities at specific locations downstream of these WRPs were predicted using exponential equations calculated from the FC data collected. Predicted dry weather FC values were subtracted from the predicted wet weather FC values to estimate FC densities that might occur in the waterways during wet weather if disinfection eliminated the FC burden in the WRP outfalls.

Based on the analysis of data collected in this study, we have concluded the following:

1. Fecal coliform densities in the North Shore Channel upstream of the North Side WRP at Oakton Street were greater than $400 \mathrm{cfu} / 100 \mathrm{~mL} 88$ percent of the time during heavy rainfalls, 86 percent of the time during light rainfall periods, and 45 percent of the time during dry weather periods. Fecal coliform densities were as high as $9,800 \mathrm{cfu} / 100 \mathrm{~mL}, 42,000 \mathrm{cfu} / 100 \mathrm{~mL}$, and 470,000 cfu/100 mL during dry weather, light rain, and heavy rain periods, respectively.
2. Fecal coliform densities in the North Branch of the Chicago River at Albany Avenue, a downstream tributary to the North Side WRP effluent outfall, were greater than $400 \mathrm{cfu} / 100 \mathrm{~mL} 97$ percent of the time during heavy rainfall periods, 93 percent of the time during light rainfall periods, and 77 percent of the time during dry weather periods. Fecal coliform densities were as high as $3,500 \mathrm{cfu} / 100 \mathrm{~mL}, 100,000 \mathrm{cfu} / 100 \mathrm{~mL}$, and 360,000 cfu/100 mL during dry weather, light rain, and heavy rain periods, respectively.
3. Fecal coliform densities in the Little Calumet River upstream of the Calumet WRP at Indiana Avenue were greater than $400 \mathrm{cfu} / 100 \mathrm{~mL} 53$ percent of the time during heavy rainfall periods, 15 percent of the time during light rainfall
periods, and 8 percent of the time during dry weather periods. Fecal coliform densities were as high as $490 \mathrm{cfu} / 100 \mathrm{~mL}, 7,200 \mathrm{cfu} / 100 \mathrm{~mL}$, and 13,000 cfu/ 100 mL during dry weather, light rain, and heavy rain periods, respectively.
4. Fecal coliform densities in the Little Calumet River at Ashland Avenue, a downstream tributary to the Calumet WRP effluent outfall were greater than $400 \mathrm{cfu} / 100 \mathrm{~mL} 95$ percent of the time during heavy rainfall periods, 90 percent of the time during light rainfall periods, and 60 percent of the time during dry weather periods. Fecal coliform densities were as high as $3,600 \mathrm{cfu} / 100$ $\mathrm{mL}, 33,000 \mathrm{cfu} / 100 \mathrm{~mL}$, and $76,000 \mathrm{cfu} / 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ during dry weather, light rain, and heavy rain periods, respectively.
5. Climatological data collected during the three-year study period indicate that rainfall occurs on approximately 145 days, about 40 percent, each year. The elevated FC densities that occurred during wet weather periods often persisted for 48 hours or longer suggesting that dry weather conditions, when effluent disinfection would be most effective, occur in the waterways less than 50 percent of the time. During these dry weather times upstream and tributary flows are often contributing FC densities greater than $400 \mathrm{cfu} / 100 \mathrm{~mL}$.
6. Analysis of the collected data indicated that FC densities less than the proposed IEPA effluent standard were predicted to occur 16 miles and 8 miles downstream of the North Side and Calumet WRPs, respectively, during dry weather under current conditions with no effluent disinfection. It is not clear the extent to which this would be improved were the effluents from these WRPs to be disinfected given the FC densities that were determined to exist upstream of the WRPs and in significant downstream tributaries.
7. Fecal coliform densities less than the proposed IEPA effluent standard were predicted to occur at North area stations 22 and 108 miles downstream of the North Side WRP during light rain and heavy rain, respectively. The analysis predicted that disinfection of the North Side WRP effluent would only marginally improve the microbiological water quality downstream of the North Side WRP in that the proposed IEPA effluent standard could be met at a point 10 miles downstream of the WRP during light rain and the standard could not be met during heavy rain.
8. Fecal coliform densities less than the proposed IEPA effluent standard were predicted to occur at South area stations 11 and 70 miles downstream of the Calumet WRP during light rain and heavy rain, respectively. The analysis predicted that disinfection of the Calumet WRP effluent would only marginally improve the microbiological water quality downstream of the Calumet WRP in that the proposed IEPA effluent standard could be met at 8 miles downstream of the WRP during light rain and the standard could not be met during heavy rain.

This study indicates that disinfection of the North Side and Calumet WRP effluents during wet weather would not improve the microbiological water quality downstream of these WRPs in terms of compliance with the proposed IEPA effluent standard.

Since measurable rainfall occurred approximately 40 percent of the year, including the period March-November when the proposed IEPA effluent standard would be in effect, disinfection of WRP effluents would be ineffective for a substantial portion of the year, when wet weather is occurring.

## INTRODUCTION

This study was initiated in 2004 to determine the densities and die-off of FC bacteria in District waterways relative to issues raised by the Chicago Area Waterways Use Attainability Analysis (CDM, 2004). An interim report was completed for that year (Dennison and Zmuda, 2005). The original plan was for this to be a two-year study; however, since 2005 was a very dry year with only one documented heavy rain event, the study was continued through 2006. Fecal coliform density was measured at each of 12 locations in two segments of the Chicago Waterway System, including the North area waterways (North Shore Channel and North Branch Chicago River) and South area waterways (Little Calumet River and Calumet-Sag Channel). Sample stations are shown in Figure 1.

FIGURE 1: CHICAGO WATERWAY SYSTEM SAMPLE STATIONS FOR FECAL COLIFORM DENSITY STUDY


## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Water samples were collected twice a month between April and December 2004 through 2006. The Industrial Waste Division (IWD) collected water samples for FC at the North area stations on the first Tuesday and second Monday of each month and at the South area stations on the third Tuesday and fourth Monday of each month. IWD also collected water samples for FC density each day, for a maximum of three days, following any rain event sufficient to cause an overflow at the North Side Pumping Station (for North area stations) or at the $122^{\text {nd }}$ Street, $125^{\text {th }}$ Street, or $95^{\text {th }}$ Street Pumping Stations (for South area stations). No samples were collected on weekends or holidays. Fecal coliform density data from routine bridge run samples collected during January through March 2005 and 2006 at the North and South area stations were also included as dry weather data in this study. Rain gauge data were obtained from the Maintenance and Operations Department.

Water samples were collected as grab samples from mid-channel at a 1 m depth and were analyzed for FC density by the Analytical Microbiology Section of the Environmental Monitoring and Research Division using the FC density membrane filter procedure (SM 9222 D, SM $18^{\text {th }}$ ed., [APHA, 1992]).

Equations for FC die-off curves, and corresponding $R^{2}$ values, were formulated using the exponential curve fitting function of the computer program Microsoft Excel ${ }^{\circledR}$. Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism ${ }^{\circledR}$ version 4.03 for Windows (GraphPad Software, San Diego, California, USA www.graphpad.com). All decisions of statistical significance were made using the 0.05 level of probability.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Rainfall recorded at rain gauge stations in the North and South areas during 2004, 2005, and 2006 are summarized in Table 1. In general, measurable rainfall occurred approximately 40 percent of the year; specifically 39.2 percent for the entire year and 39.7 percent for the MarchNovember period.

Results of FC densities are shown for each station in the North area in Figures 2-7 and in the South area in Figures 8-13. Fecal coliform density data are expressed as colony forming units (cfu) per 100 mL . Certain patterns are able to be seen from the graphs in these figures. For example, the station located upstream of the North Side WRP at Oakton Street (Figure 2) generally had FC values distributed at higher densities than at the station located upstream of the Calumet WRP at Indiana Avenue (Figure 8) with the majority of FC concentrations being much greater than the proposed IEPA effluent standard for the North Side WRP of $400 \mathrm{cfu} / 100 \mathrm{~mL}$. Fecal coliform densities at Albany Avenue on the North Branch of the Chicago River, which is a downstream tributary to the outfall from the North Side WRP, were usually far above 400 cfu $/ 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ (Figure 4) as were FC densities at Ashland Avenue on the Little Calumet River, which is a downstream tributary to the outfall from the Calumet WRP (Figure 10). Also, the FC values at Route 83 were generally lower than at the other South area stations downstream of the Calumet WRP.

## Trends in Fecal Coliform Densities with Rainfall

In order to determine trends in FC densities associated with rainfall and rates of FC density die-off during dry and wet weather, grouping of FC values within three intensities of rainfall were decided upon. These groups were named: heavy rain, light rain, and dry weather (no rain). A "heavy rain" was defined as rainfall that exceeded the capacity of the Deep Tunnel and resulted in a discharge of combined sewer overflow (CSO) from a major District pumping station to a receiving stream. In the North area, such a CSO discharge entered the North Branch of the Chicago River from the North Branch Pumping Station and in the South area the CSO entered the Calumet-Sag Channel from the $125^{\text {th }}$ Street Pumping Station. A "light rain" sample was defined as having been collected on any day when measurable rainfall occurred on that day, or one or two days prior, in either the North or South area. A "dry weather" sample was defined as having been collected on any day on which no measurable rainfall occurred, including none two days prior and one day after, the day on which a routine FC sample was collected. As shown in Table 2, in the North area, heavy rains averaged 0.5 inches, with a maximum of 2.2 inches. Light rains averaged 0.1 inches, with a maximum of 0.4 inches. In the South area, heavy rains averaged 0.7 inches, with a maximum of 3.1 inches. Light rains averaged 0.3 inches, with a maximum of 0.8 inches.

Individual dry weather and wet weather (heavy and light rain) rainfall and FC density measurements for these groupings are given in Appendix Table AI-1 for the North area stations and Appendix Table AI-2 for the South area stations. Summaries of the FC density values for each rainfall group are listed in Table 3.

TABLE 1: RAINFALL RECORDED AT NORTH AND SOUTH AREA RAIN GAUGE STATIONS DURING 2004, 2005, AND 2006

| Rain Gauge Stations | Year | Rain Measurement Period | No. of Days Gauges in Operation | No. of Days Rainfall Occurred | Percent of Days Rainfall Occurred |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North Side WRP or North |  |  |  |  |  |
| Branch Pumping Station | 2004 | Entire Year | 364 | 141 | 38.7 |
|  |  | March-November | 274 | 111 | 40.5 |
|  | 2005 | Entire Year | 365 | 135 | 37.0 |
|  |  | March-November | 275 | 92 | 33.5 |
|  | 2006 | Entire Year | 364 | 162 | 44.5 |
|  |  | March-November | 274 | 126 | 46.0 |
| Total for | 2004- | Entire Year | 1,093 | 438 | 40.1 |
|  | 2006 | March-November | 823 | 329 | 40.0 |
| Calumet WRP or Melvina |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pumping Station | 2004 | Entire Year | 364 | 139 | 38.2 |
|  |  | March-November | 274 | 115 | 42.0 |
|  | 2005 | Entire Year | 365 | 124 | 34.0 |
|  |  | March-November | 275 | 84 | 30.5 |
|  | 2006 | Entire Year | 364 | 157 | 43.1 |
|  |  | March-November | 274 | 126 | 46.0 |
| Total for | 2004- | Entire Year | 1,093 | 420 | 38.4 |
|  | 2006 | March-November | 823 | 325 | 39.5 |
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FIGURE 4: FECAL COLIFORM BACTERIA AT ALBANY AVENUE ON THE NORTH BRANCH CHICAGO RIVER DURING THE YEARS 2004, 2005, AND 2006
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FIGURE 5: FECAL COLIFORM BACTERIA AT WILSON AVENUE ON THE NORTH BRANCH CHICAGO RIVER DURING THE YEARS 2004, 2005, AND 2006
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FIGURE 6: FECAL COLIFORM BACTERIA AT DIVERSEY PARKWAY ON THE NORTH BRANCH CHICAGO RIVER DURING THE YEARS 2004, 2005, AND 2006




FIGURE 7: FECAL COLIFORM BACTERIA AT GRAND AVENUE ON THE NORTH BRANCH CHICAGO RIVER DURING THE YEARS 2004, 2005, AND 2006




FIGURE 8: FECAL COLIFORM BACTERIA AT INDIANA AVENUE ON THE LITTLE CALUMET RIVER DURING THE YEARS 2004, 2005, AND 2006



FIGURE 9: FECAL COLIFORM BACTERIA AT HALSTED STREET ON THE LITTLE CALUMET RIVER DURING THE YEARS 2004, 2005, AND 2006




FIGURE 10: FECAL COLIFORM BACTERIA AT ASHLAND AVENUE ON THE LITTLE CALUMET RIVER DURING THE YEARS 2004, 2005, AND 2006


FIGURE 11: FECAL COLIFORM BACTERIA AT ASHLAND AVENUE ON THE CALUMET-SAG CHANNEL DURING THE YEARS 2004, 2005, AND 2006
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FIGURE 12: FECAL COLIFORM BACTERIA AT CICERO AVENUE ON THE CALUMET-SAG CHANNEL DURING THE YEARS 2004, 2005, AND 2006




FIGURE 13: FECAL COLIFORM BACTERIA AT ROUTE 83 ON THE CALUMET-SAG CHANNEL DURING THE YEARS 2004, 2005, AND 2006




# TABLE 2: RAINFALL MEASURED AT FOUR GAUGE LOCATIONS DURING HEAVY AND LIGHT RAINS FROM 2004 THROUGH 2006 

| Rain Intensity ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ and Gauge Location | Rain (inches) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average | Minimum | Maximum | Number of <br> Rain Gauge Measurements >0 Inches |
| Heavy Rain - North Area |  |  |  |  |
| North Side WRP | 0.51 | 0.01 | 1.69 | 27 |
| North Branch Pumping Station | 0.52 | 0.01 | 2.16 | 26 |
| Light Rain - North Area |  |  |  |  |
| North Side WRP | 0.14 | 0.02 | 0.42 | 13 |
| North Branch Pumping Station | 0.12 | 0.01 | 0.40 | 14 |
| Heavy Rain - South Area |  |  |  |  |
| Calumet WRP | 0.68 | 0.03 | 1.99 | 13 |
| Melvina Pumping Station | 0.67 | 0.01 | 3.09 | 14 |
| Light Rain - South Area |  |  |  |  |
| Calumet WRP | 0.27 | 0.03 | 0.66 | 21 |
| Melvina Pumping Station | 0.27 | 0.01 | 0.80 | 20 |

""Heavy rain" was defined as rainfall that exceeded the capacity of the Deep Tunnel and resulted in a discharge of combined sewer overflow (CSO) from a major District pumping station to a receiving stream. In the North area, such a CSO discharge entered the North Branch of the Chicago River from the North Side Pumping Station and in the South area the CSO entered the Calumet-Sag Channel from the $125^{\text {th }}$ Street Pumping Station. A "light rain" was defined as any measurable rainfall that occurred on the same day, or on one or two days prior, to a routine fecal coliform sample from a monitoring station in either the North or South area. "Dry weather" was defined as any day on which no measurable rainfall occurred, including none two days prior and one day after, and on which a routine fecal coliform sample was collected.
TABLE 3: FECAL COLIFORM DENSITY IN CFU/100 mL (NUMBER OF SAMPLES, MINIMUM, GEOMETRIC MEAN, AND MAXIMUM) IN CHICAGO WATERWAY SYSTEM DURING DRY AND WET WEATHER 2004-2006

| Sample Station and Year | Dry Weather |  |  |  | Wet Weather - Light Rain No Pumping Station CSO |  |  |  | Wet Weather - Heavy Rain Pumping Station CSO |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | Min | Geomean | Max | N | Min | Geomean | Max | N | Min | Geomean | Max |
| North Shore Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oakton Street (0.6) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2004 | 8 | 40 | 315 | 3,700 | 2 | 720 | 782 | 850 | 9 | 700 | 11,267 | 470,000 |
| 2005 | 6 | 20 | 207 | 4,200 | 7 | 1,600 | 5,187 | 42,000 | 3 | 290 | 2,301 | 21,000 |
| 2006 | 8 | 30 | 478 | 9,800 | 5 | 120 | 663 | 2,500 | 22 | 100 | 6,332 | 330,000 |
| 2004-2006 Combined | 22 | 20 | 327 | 9,800 | 14 | 120 | 1,899 | 42,000 | 34 | 100 | 6,745 | 470,000 |
| Foster Avenue (3.1) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2004 | 8 | 4,200 | 8,511 | 22,000 | 2 | 8,400 | 14,491 | 25,000 | 9 | 10,000 | 26,083 | 130,000 |
| 2005 | 6 | 5,100 | 9,119 | 31,000 | 7 | 2,500 | 9,293 | 22,000 | 3 | 280 | 6,314 | 31,000 |
| 2006 | 8 | 3,500 | 7,553 | 30,000 | 5 | 3,700 | 12,779 | 76,000 | 22 | 2,300 | 9,720 | 71,000 |
| 2004-2006 Combined | 22 | 3,500 | 8,304 | 31,000 | 14 | 2,500 | 11,095 | 76,000 | 34 | 280 | 12,151 | 130,000 |
| North Branch Chicago River |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Albany Avenue (3.3) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2004 | 8 | 200 | 671 | 2,000 | 2 | 680 | 8,246 | 100,000 | 9 | 990 | 7,487 | 130,000 |
| 2005 | 6 | 300 | 618 | 1,600 | 6 | 1,800 | 5,868 | 21,000 | 3 | 800 | 1,687 | 4,000 |
| 2006 | 8 | 140 | 519 | 3,500 | 5 | 40 | 979 | 4,300 | 22 | 320 | 7,401 | 360,000 |
| 2004-2006 Combined | 22 | 140 | 598 | 3,500 | 13 | 40 | 3,106 | 100,000 | 34 | 320 | 6,516 | 360,000 |
| Wilson Avenue (4.0) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2004 | 8 | 3,400 | 6,370 | 17,000 | 2 | 5,400 | 10,900 | 22,000 | 9 | 8,000 | 23,233 | 210,000 |
| 2005 | 6 | 4,100 | 6,625 | 11,000 | 7 | 6,400 | 11,631 | 25,000 | 3 | 24,000 | 26,552 | 30,000 |
| 2006 | 8 | 2,400 | 5,659 | 20,000 | 5 | 3,900 | 10,367 | 30,000 | 22 | 1,500 | 10,236 | 210,000 |
| 2004-2006 Combined | 22 | 2,400 | 6,167 | 20,000 | 14 | 3,900 | 11,060 | 30,000 | 34 | 1,500 | 13,832 | 210,000 |

TABLE 3 (Continued): FECAL COLIFORM DENSITY IN CFU/100 mL (NUMBER OF SAMPLES, MINIMUM, GEOMETRIC MEAN, AND MAXIMUM) IN CHICAGO WATERWAY SYSTEM DURING DRY AND WET WEATHER 2004-2006

| Sample Station and Year | Dry Weather |  |  |  | Wet Weather - Light Rain No Pumping Station CSO |  |  |  | Wet Weather - Heavy Rain Pumping Station CSO |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | Min | Geomean | Max | N | Min | Geomean | Max | N | Min | Geomean | Max |
| North Branch Chicago River |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Diversey Parkway (6.6) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2004 | 8 | 2,000 | 3,643 | 8,800 | 2 | 6,600 | 7,490 | 8,500 | 9 | 4,500 | 13,276 | 67,000 |
| 2005 | 6 | 2,600 | 3,634 | 5,500 | 7 | 4,100 | 8,980 | 23,000 | 3 | 8,600 | 15,096 | 40,000 |
| 2006 | 8 | 890 | 3,105 | 9,000 | 5 | 1,500 | 6,877 | 37,000 | 22 | 1,100 | 12,783 | 110,000 |
| 2004-2006 Combined | 22 | 890 | 3,435 | 9,000 | 14 | 1,500 | 7,955 | 37,000 | 34 | 1,100 | 13,103 | 110,000 |
| Grand Avenue ((10.7) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2004 | 8 | 550 | 1,554 | 3,600 | 2 | 1,000 | 1,483 | 2,200 | 9 | 1,400 | 7,910 | 110,000 |
| 2005 | 6 | 650 | 1,387 | 3,200 | 7 | 800 | 3,945 | 13,000 | 3 | 960 | 2,779 | 8,600 |
| 2006 | 8 | 200 | 1,037 | 7,800 | 5 | 650 | 2,124 | 4,600 | 22 | 1,100 | 14,129 | 270,000 |
| 2004-2006 Combined | 22 | 200 | 1,301 | 7,800 | 14 | 650 | 2,750 | 13,000 | 34 | 960 | 10,498 | 270,000 |
| Little Calumet River |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Indiana Avenue (1.4) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2004 | 2 | 40 | 75 | 140 | 6 | 30 | 142 | 7,200 | 9 | 140 | 264 | 560 |
| 2005 | 5 | 20 | 43 | 120 | 8 | 20 | 71 | 170 | 0 |  |  |  |
| 2006 | 6 | 20 | 124 | 490 | 6 | 10 | 166 | 1,000 | 9 | 380 | 1,479 | 13,000 |
| 2004-2006 Combined | 13 | 20 | 76 | 490 | 20 | 10 | 113 | 7,200 | 18 | 140 | 625 | 13,000 |
| Halsted Street (1.0) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 15 | 670 | 1,979 | 6,000 | 24 | 270 | 2,934 | 13,000 | 18 | 2,600 | 10,955 | 65,000 |
| 2004 | 2 | 2,900 | 3,186 | 3,500 | 6 | 270 | 2,722 | 6,500 | 8 | 2,600 | 5,672 | 54,000 |
| 2005 | 7 | 670 | 1,517 | 6,000 | 9 | 1,300 | 3,217 | 13,000 | 0 |  |  |  |
| 2006 | 6 | 1,200 | 2,302 | 3,600 | 6 | 760 | 3,178 | 6,800 | 9 | 6,000 | 21,188 | 65,000 |
| 2004-2006 Combined | 15 | 670 | 1,979 | 6,000 | 21 | 270 | 3,057 | 13,000 | 17 | 2,600 | 11,396 | 65,000 |

TABLE 3 (Continued): FECAL COLIFORM DENSITY IN CFU/100 mL (NUMBER OF SAMPLES, MINIMUM, GEOMETRIC MEAN, AND MAXIMUM) IN CHICAGO WATERWAY SYSTEM DURING DRY AND WET WEATHER 2004-2006

| Sample Station and Year | Dry Weather |  |  |  | Wet Weather - Light Rain No Pumping Station CSO |  |  |  | Wet Weather - Heavy Rain Pumping Station CSO |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | Min | Geomean | Max | N | Min | Geomean | Max | N | Min | Geomean | Max |
| Ashland Avenue (1.3) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2004 | 2 | 5,200 | 33,823 | 220,000 | 6 | 140 | 2,622 | 16,000 | 8 | 990 | 5,145 | 80,000 |
| 2005 | 7 | 150 | 538 | 1,200 | 8 | 510 | 13,527 | 130,000 | 0 |  |  |  |
| 2006 | 6 | 150 | 466 | 2,400 | 6 | 140 | 972 | 2,200 | 9 | 1,600 | 13,013 | 38,000 |
| 2004-2006 Combined | 15 | 150 | 882 | 220,000 | 20 | 140 | 3,753 | 130,000 | 17 | 990 | 8,409 | 80,000 |
| Calumet-Sag Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ashland Avenue (2.1) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2004 | 2 | 2,100 | 2,510 | 3,000 | 6 | 250 | 3,007 | 16,000 | 8 | 1,300 | 4,393 | 36,000 |
| 2005 | 7 | 270 | 940 | 3,600 | 9 | 800 | 4,066 | 33,000 | 0 |  |  |  |
| 2006 | 6 | 860 | 1,513 | 3,100 | 6 | 1,200 | 2,530 | 4,600 | 9 | 6,400 | 18,218 | 76,000 |
| 2004-2006 Combined | 15 | 270 | 1,296 | 3,600 | 21 | 250 | 3,257 | 33,000 | 17 | 1,300 | 9,329 | 76,000 |
| Cicero Avenue (6.2) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2004 | 2 | 340 | 865 | 2,200 | 6 | 20 | 542 | 2,500 | 8 | 770 | 3,013 | 39,000 |
| 2005 | 7 | 110 | 364 | 2,100 | 9 | 30 | 769 | 3,600 | 0 |  |  |  |
| 2006 | 6 | 180 | 504 | 1,000 | 6 | 190 | 675 | 2,700 | 9 | 3,300 | 30,090 | 290,000 |
| 2004-2006 Combined | 15 | 110 | 465 | 2,200 | 21 | 20 | 670 | 3,600 | 17 | 770 | 10,188 | 290,000 |
| Route 83 (16.9) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2004 | 2 | 20 | 101 | 510 | 6 | 20 | 95 | 230 | 8 | 360 | 1,569 | 31,000 |
| 2005 | 7 | 9 | 28 | 100 | 8 | 9 | 114 | 1,700 | 0 |  |  |  |
| 2006 | 6 | 9 | 53 | 210 | 6 | 40 | 208 | 1,300 | 9 | 1,500 | 13,872 | 200,000 |
| 2004-2006 Combined | 15 | 9 | 43 | 510 | 20 | 9 | 129 | 1,700 | 17 | 360 | 4,974 | 200,000 |

[^1]${ }^{\text {b }}$ Downstream WRP effluent outfall.
${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Tributary downstream WRP effluent outfall.

For the 12 sampling stations during 2004-2006, dry weather FC density ranged from 9 to $220,000 \mathrm{cfu} / 100 \mathrm{~mL}$. During wet weather, light rain FC density ranged from 9 to 130,000 $\mathrm{cfu} / 100 \mathrm{~mL}$. During wet weather, heavy rain FC density ranged from 100 to $470,000 \mathrm{cfu} / 100$ mL . Geometric mean dry weather FC density ranged from 28 to $33,823 \mathrm{cfu} / 100 \mathrm{~mL}$. During wet weather, light rain geometric mean FC density ranged from 71 to $14,491 \mathrm{cfu} / 100 \mathrm{~mL}$. During wet weather, heavy rain geometric mean FC density ranged from 264 to $30,090 \mathrm{cfu} / 100 \mathrm{~mL}$.

North Area. Downstream from the North Side WRP effluent outfall, dry weather, the three-year combined geometric mean for FC density during dry weather decreased from 8,304 cfu/ 100 mL at Foster Avenue on the North Shore Channel to $1,301 \mathrm{cfu} / 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ at Grand Avenue on the North Branch of the Chicago River. During wet weather, light rain, the geometric mean FC density decreased from $11,095 \mathrm{cfu} / 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ at Foster Avenue on the North Shore Channel to $2,750 \mathrm{cfu} / 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ at Grand Avenue on the North Branch of the Chicago River. During wet weather, heavy rain, the geometric mean FC density decreased from $12,151 \mathrm{cfu} / 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ at Foster Avenue on the North Shore Channel to $10,498 \mathrm{cfu} / 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ at Grand Avenue on the North Branch of the Chicago River.

Minimum, median, and maximum FC density values for dry and wet weather are shown in Figure 14 for the North area stations. The differences in FC density among rainfall groups at each station are easier to follow as the FC density moves downstream in these figures. Dry weather FC density was generally lowest at the Oakton Street Station, 0.6 miles upstream of the North Side WRP effluent outfall and in the tributary (North Branch of the Chicago River) which enters the North Shore Channel 3.3 miles downstream of the WRP effluent outfall. However, 45 percent of the dry weather FC density measurements at the upstream Oakton Street Station were above the $400 \mathrm{cfu} / 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ FC density proposed IEPA effluent standard and 77 percent of the dry weather FC density measurements at the tributary Albany Avenue Station were above the proposed $400 \mathrm{cfu} / 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ standard. The maximum FC density ( $470,000 \mathrm{cfu} / 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) during heavy rain wet weather was higher at the upstream station than at any of the stations downstream from the North Side WRP effluent outfall. Heavy rain FC density showed little decline as distance from the North Side WRP increased down the North area waterway, though a reduction was apparent during dry weather and light rain.

South Area. Downstream from the Calumet WRP effluent outfall, the combined geometric mean FC density during dry weather decreased from 1,979 cfu/ 100 mL at Halsted Street on the Little Calumet River to $43 \mathrm{cfu} / 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ at Route 83 on the Calumet-Sag Channel. During wet weather, light rain, the geometric mean FC density decreased from 3,057 cfu/100 mL at Halsted Street on the Little Calumet River to $129 \mathrm{cfu} / 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ at Route 83 on the Calumet-Sag Channel. During wet weather, heavy rain, the geometric mean FC density decreased from $11,396 \mathrm{cfu} / 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ at Halsted Street on the Little Calumet River to $4,974 \mathrm{cfu} / 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ at Route 83 on the Calumet-Sag Channel.

Minimum, median, and maximum FC density values for dry and wet weather are shown in Figure 15 for the South area stations. More than 75 percent of the FC density measurements were below the $400 \mathrm{cfu} / 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ proposed IEPA effluent standard during dry weather and light

FIGURE 14: FECAL COLIFORM DENSITIES AT NORTH AREA WATERWAY STATIONS DURING DRY AND WET WEATHER FROM 2004 THROUGH 2006


FIGURE 15: FECAL COLIFORM DENSITIES AT SOUTH AREA WATERWAY STATIONS DURING DRY AND WET WEATHER FROM 2004 THROUGH 2006

rain at the Indiana Avenue Station, 1.4 miles upstream of the Calumet WRP effluent outfall, while during heavy rains more than 75 percent of the FC density measurements at the upstream station exceeded the proposed IEPA effluent standard.

At the Ashland Avenue Tributary Station, located in the wadeable portion of the Little Calumet River which enters the deep-draft portion of the Little Calumet River 1.3 miles downstream of the Calumet WRP effluent outfall, 60 percent of the dry weather FC density, and almost all of the wet weather FC density, exceeded the proposed IEPA effluent standard. The highest dry weather FC density $(220,000 \mathrm{cfu} / 100 \mathrm{~mL})$ for the South area waterway occurred at this station. This highest dry weather FC density result appears to be an anomaly, but it has not been excluded in the analysis of the data set. At the Route 83 Station, 16.9 miles downstream of the Calumet WRP, 93 percent of the FC density measurements were below the proposed IEPA effluent FC density standard during dry weather, and 70 percent of the FC density measurements were in compliance with the standard during light rain. Heavy rain FC density showed little decline as distance from the Calumet WRP increased down the South area waterway, though reduction in FC density was apparent during dry weather and light rain.

Results of statistical analysis of the trend (linear regression of FC density measurements transformed to base 10 logarithms) in FC density downstream of the WRP outfalls are shown in Figure 16 for the FC density at the North area waterway mainstream stations (i.e., the upstream and tributary stations were not included), and in Figure 17 for the FC density at the South area mainstream stations. In both the North area and South area waterways, heavy rain FC density showed no significant reduction (rate of die-off) among the stations, i.e., the slope of the FC density trend line was not significantly different from zero ( $\mathrm{p}>0.05$ ). Also, in both the North area and South area waterways, the reduction (rate of die-off) was significant ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ) as distance increased from the WRPs for both the dry weather and light rain FC density, and in both areas, the light rain FC density was significantly higher than the dry weather FC density ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ).

## Trend of Fecal Coliform Density During Three-Day Period After Rainfall

In order to investigate what conditions were causing the heavy rain wet weather FC density to remain high, without reduction, as distance increased downstream from the WRPs, geometric mean FC density was plotted for each of three days during both heavy and light rains, and compared with dry weather FC density, at waterway stations in the North and South areas.

North Area. In the North area (Figure 18) during heavy rains, FC density on the first and second days of measurements were extremely high and did not show a pattern of reduction with downstream distance from the WRPs. This was likely due to effects of FC density from the North Branch Pumping Station discharges, as well as FC input from other CSOs and storm water inflows that would have been greatest on days during or immediately following the storms. Light rain FC density was also highest on the first two days following the rain event, but the pattern of FC density reduction was more apparent with distance downstream from the North Side WRP.

FIGURE 16: TREND (LINES) OF FECAL COLIFORM DENSITIES (LOG10 TRANSFORMED VALUES) AT STATIONS DOWNSTREAM FROM THE

NORTH SIDE WRP DURING WET AND DRY WEATHER 2004-2006


FIGURE 17: TREND (LINES) OF FECAL COLIFORM DENSITIES (LOG10 TRANSFORMED VALUES) AT STATIONS DOWNSTREAM FROM THE CALUMET WRP DURING WET AND DRY WEATHER 2004-2006


FIGURE 18: GEOMETRIC MEANS OF FECAL COLIFORM BACTERIA AT NORTH AREA STATIONS EACH DAY AFTER HEAVY AND LIGHT RAINFALLS FOR THREE-DAY PERIODS COMPARED WITH DRY WEATHER DENSITIES



South Area. In the South area (Figure 19), FC density on the first and second days of measurements after heavy rains were extremely high and also did not show a pattern of reduction with downstream distance from the WRPs. This was likely due to effects of FC density from the $125^{\text {th }}$ Street Pumping Station discharges, as well as FC density input from other CSOs and storm water inflows that would have been greatest on days during or immediately following the storms. Light rain FC density varied as to which of the three days following a rain event would be highest, the pattern of FC density reduction being more apparent with distance below the Calumet WRP.

## Trend of Fecal Coliform Across Stations, Upstream to Downstream

North Area. Results of statistical analysis of the trend in FC density downstream of the WRP outfalls, on each day following initiation of sampling during heavy and light rains, are shown in Figure 20 using linear regression of FC density measurements transformed to base 2 logarithms. The FC densities tested were at the North area waterway mainstream stations (i.e., the upstream and tributary stations were not included). Heavy rain FC density showed no significant reduction (rate of die-off) ( $\mathrm{p}>0.05$ ) among the stations on the first and second days of FC density measurement, i.e., the slope of the FC density trend line was not significantly different from zero on either day. The slope of the trend line was significantly different from zero ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ) on the third day of heavy rain FC density. Also, the reduction (rate of die-off) was significant as distance increased from the North Side WRP for light rain FC density on the first, second, and third days of FC density measurement ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ).

South Area. Results of statistical analysis of the trend in FC density downstream of the Calumet WRP outfall in the South area, on each day following initiation of sampling during heavy and light rains, are shown in Figure 21 with linear regression of FC density measurements transformed to base 2 logarithms. The FC densities tested were at the South area waterway mainstream stations. Heavy rain FC density showed no significant reduction (rate of die-off) among the stations on the first and second days of FC density measurement ( $\mathrm{p}>0.05$ ), i.e., the slope of the FC density trend line was not significantly different from zero ( $\mathrm{p}>0.05$ ) on either day. The slope of the trend line was significantly different from zero $(\mathrm{p}<0.05)$ on the third day of heavy rain FC density. Also, the reduction (rate of die-off) was significant ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ) as distance increased from the Calumet WRP for light rain FC density on the first, second, and third days of FC density measurement.

## Estimated Die-Off of Fecal Coliform Bacteria

In order to estimate waterway FC density that might occur during wet weather conditions if there was complete disinfection of WRP effluent outfalls, die-off equations were calculated using FC densities measured at main stream monitoring stations within both the North and South areas. Results of these calculations are presented below.

FIGURE 19: GEOMETRIC MEANS OF FECAL COLIFORM BACTERIA AT SOUTH AREA STATIONS EACH DAY AFTER HEAVY AND LIGHT RAINFALLS FOR THREE-DAY PERIODS COMPARED WITH DRY WEATHER DENSITIES



FIGURE 20: TREND (LINES) OF FECAL COLIFORM DENSITIES (LOG2 TRANSFORMED VALUES) AT STATIONS DOWNSTREAM FROM THE NORTH SIDE WRP EACH DAY AFTER HEAVY AND LIGHT RAINFALLS FOR THREE-DAY PERIODS COMPARED WITH DRY WEATHER DENSITIES



FIGURE 21: TREND (LINES) OF FECAL COLIFORM DENSITIES (LOG2 TRANSFORMED VALUES) AT STATIONS DOWNSTREAM FROM THE CALUMET WRP EACH DAY AFTER HEAVY AND LIGHT RAINFALLS FOR THREE-DAY PERIODS COMPARED WITH DRY WEATHER DENSITIES



Fecal coliform densities downstream of these WRPs were shown to die-off at an exponential rate, and FC densities at specific locations downstream of these WRPs were predicted using the equation $\mathrm{FC}_{\mathrm{m}}=\mathrm{FC}_{0} \times \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{km}}$ where $\mathrm{FC}_{\mathrm{m}}=\mathrm{FC}$ concentration (cfu/100 mL) m miles downstream of the WRP outfall, $\mathrm{FC}_{0}=\mathrm{FC}$ concentration (cfu/ 100 mL ) 0 miles downstream at the WRP outfall, m is distance downstream (miles) of the WRP outfall and k is the decay rate constant ( $1 / \mathrm{miles}$ ). The FC decay equations derived from the data are shown below:

North Side Receiving Stream in Dry Weather

$$
\mathrm{FC}=16,776 \mathrm{x} \mathrm{e}^{-0.2396 \mathrm{~m}}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=0.9983
$$

North Side Receiving Stream in Wet Weather with Light Rain

$$
\mathrm{FC}=22,781 \times \mathrm{xe}^{-0.1889 \mathrm{~m}}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=0.9499
$$

North Side Receiving Stream in Wet Weather with Heavy Rain, including CSO discharge from the North Branch Pumping Station

$$
\mathrm{FC}=14,986 \mathrm{x} \mathrm{e}^{-0.0337 \mathrm{~m}}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=0.6989
$$

Calumet Receiving Stream in Dry Weather

$$
\mathrm{FC}=2,233 \times \mathrm{e}^{-0.2361 \mathrm{~m}}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=0.9968
$$

## Calumet Receiving Stream in Wet Weather with Light Rain

$$
\mathrm{FC}=3,725 \times \mathrm{e}^{-0.2062 \mathrm{~m}}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=0.9580
$$

Calumet Receiving Stream in Wet Weather with Heavy Rain, including CSO discharge from the $125^{\text {th }}$ Street Pumping Station

$$
\mathrm{FC}=11,766 \mathrm{x} \mathrm{e}^{-0.0485 \mathrm{~m}}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=0.8938
$$

Predicted dry weather FC values were subtracted from the predicted wet weather FC values to estimate FC densities that might occur in the waterways during wet weather if disinfection eliminated the FC burden in the WRP outfalls.

North Area. Comparisons of geometric means of FC bacteria, with calculated die-off density estimates for wet and dry weather, are shown in Figure 22 for the North area stations. Data for the Oakton Street Station, located upstream of the North Side WRP on the North Shore Channel, and data for the tributary station at Albany Avenue, on the North Branch Chicago River, were not included in the plots or the die-off equations. Estimated FC densities calculated from these die-off equations are shown in Table 4 at distances of 5 miles and at mile points downstream of WRP effluent outfalls at which the proposed IEPA WRP effluent standards are first predicted to be met. Fecal coliform densities less than the $400 \mathrm{cfu} / 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ proposed IEPA

FIGURE 22: GEOMETRIC MEANS OF FECAL COLIFORM BACTERIA DENSITIES AT NORTH AREA STATIONS WITH ESTIMATED DIE-OFF DENSITIES (UPSTREAM AND TRIBUTARY DENSITIES NOT INCLUDED IN DIE-OFF ESTIMATES)

TABLE 4: FECAL COLIFORM DENSITIES ${ }^{1}$ CALCULATED FROM DIE-OFF EQUATIONS AT FIVE MILES AND AT FIRST
NCE WITH GENERAL USE WATER QUALITY STANDARD DOWNSTREAM OF
WATER RECLAMATION PLANT EFFLUENT OUTFALLS

| Weather Type | Miles Downstream Water Reclamation Plant Effluent Outfall |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 | 8 | 11 | 16 | 19 | 22 | 70 | 108 |
| 2004-2006 North Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heavy Rain | 12,662 | 11,445 | 10,344 | 8,740 | 7,638 | 7,140 | 1,416 | 394 |
| Light Rain | 8,859 | 5,027 | 2,852 | 1,109 | 521 | 357 | 0 | 0 |
| Dry Weather | 5,063 | 2,467 | 1,202 | 363 | 139 | 86 | 0 | 0 |
| Heavy Rain minus Dry | 7,599 | 8,977 | 9,142 | 8,377 | 7,499 | 7,054 | 1,416 | 394 |
| Light Rain minus Dry | 3,796 | 2,559 | 1,650 | 746 | 382 | 271 | 0 | 0 |
| 2004-2006 South Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heavy Rain | 9,232 | 7,982 | 6,901 | 5,415 | 4,460 | 4,048 | 395 | 62 |
| Light Rain | 1,329 | 716 | 386 | 138 | 60 | 40 | 0 | 0 |
| Dry Weather | 686 | 338 | 166 | 51 | 20 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| Heavy Rain minus Dry | 8,546 | 7,644 | 6,735 | 5,364 | 4,440 | 4,036 | 395 | 62 |
| Light Rain minus Dry | 643 | 378 | 219 | 86 | 40 | 28 | 0 | 0 |

${ }^{1}$ Values in bold type indicate first occurrence of a calculated fecal coliform density less than the proposed $400 \mathrm{cfu} / 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ WRP effluent standard.
effluent standard at North area stations were predicted to occur 16 miles downstream of the North Side WRP during dry weather, 22 miles downstream during light rain wet weather, 19 miles downstream if disinfection eliminated FC density from the North Side WRP during light rain wet weather, 108 miles downstream during heavy rain wet weather, and also 108 miles downstream if disinfection eliminated FC density from the North Side WRP effluent outfall during heavy rain wet weather.

South Area. Comparisons of geometric means of FC bacteria, with calculated die-off density estimates for wet and dry weather, are shown in Figure 23 for South area stations. Data for the Indiana Avenue Station, located upstream of the Calumet WRP on the Little Calumet River, and data for the Ashland Avenue Tributary Station, located on the shallow portion of the Little Calumet River, were not included in the plots or the die-off equations. Estimated FC densities calculated from these die-off equations are shown in Table 4 at distances of 5 miles and at mile points downstream of WRP effluent outfalls at which proposed IEPA WRP effluent standards are first predicted to be met. Fecal coliform densities less than the $400 \mathrm{cfu} / 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ proposed IEPA effluent standard at South area stations were predicted to occur 8 miles downstream of the Calumet WRP during dry weather, 11 miles downstream during light rain wet weather, 8 miles downstream if disinfection eliminated FC density from the Calumet WRP during light rain wet weather, 70 miles downstream during heavy rain wet weather, and also, 70 miles downstream if disinfection eliminated FC density from the Calumet WRP effluent outfall during heavy rain wet weather.

## Impacts of Fecal Coliform Concentrations in the Chicago Sanitary and Ship Canal on the Des Plaines River

In early 2002, the District conducted a sampling program in cooperation with the United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region V, to compare FC concentrations in two urban waterways: the Des Plaines River (DPR) and the Chicago Sanitary and Ship Canal (CSSC) (Rijal et al., 2003). The results of this study provided a comparative assessment of FC concentrations for the 2000-2001 period at DPR Station 91 and CSSC Station 92. DPR Station 91 is upstream of the junction with the CSSC and is classified as General Use. Chicago Sanitary and Ship Canal Station 92 is classified as Secondary Contact. The General Use FC bacteria standard of 400 $\mathrm{cfu} / 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ (no more than 10 percent of the samples during any 30 -day period are allowed to exceed this limit in General Use water) was applied to grab samples collected during the sampling period. The two year cumulative FC data were analyzed within the framework of wet/dry weather conditions and seasonal disinfection periods. The results from this study indicated that DPR Station 91 had a higher percentage of FC concentrations that exceeded the single sample advisory limit of $400 \mathrm{cfu} / 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ than CSSC Station 92 . This observation suggested that by the time any FC contained in the Stickney WRP effluent reach location CSSC Station 92, even without disinfection, the resulting FC concentration at that point was lower than the FC concentration at DPR Station 91, a General Use water. This finding indicated that the secondary treated effluent from Stickney WRP, discharging into the CSSC upstream of the junction with the DPR, was not adversely affecting the microbial quality of the DPR downstream of the junction. Based on this document, there is good evidence that the microbiological quality of CSSC at Station 92,

FIGURE 23: GEOMETRIC MEANS OF FECAL COLIFORM BACTERIA DENSITIES AT SOUTH AREA STATIONS WITH ESTIMATED DIE-OFF DENSITIES (UPSTREAM AND TRIBUTARY DENSITIES NOT INCLUDED IN DIE-OFF ESTIMATES)

which is classified as a Secondary Contact water, is comparable to the DPR at Station 91, which is classified as a General Use water.

## Escherichia coli/Fecal Coliform Ratio

It is expected that the IEPA may eventually replace FC density limits in District National Pollution Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permits and water quality standards with limits for Escherichia coli (EC) densities. In anticipation of this, Zmuda, Gore, and Abedin (2004) formulated ratios from which EC densities could be converted from FC densities for both the Chicago River and Calumet River Systems. Their best estimates for EC/FC density ratios were 0.93 for the Calumet River System and 0.83 for the Chicago River System.

## Effectiveness of Disinfecting Water Reclamation Plant Final Effluent During Wet Weather

During wet weather, elimination of the FC contributions from the WRPs (dry weather FC density) made little difference to the waterway FC density in either the North or the South areas. Estimated wet weather FC density, with or without disinfection, would not meet proposed IEPA effluent standards for at least a distance of 19 miles downstream from the North Side WRP in the North area (or 8 miles downstream from the Calumet WRP in the South area). Densities of FC bacteria, with or without disinfection, would be equivalent at these distances downstream of the respective WRPs. Based on this analysis, WRP effluent disinfection is not effective for improving water quality during wet weather. Disinfection of WRP effluent during wet weather would not improve water quality below either the North Side or Calumet WRPs such that proposed IEPA WRP effluent standards would be met in the CWS.
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## APPENDIX A1

FECAL COLIFORM DENSITIES DURING WET AND DRY WEATHER AT NORTH AND SOUTH AREA SAMPLE STATIONS 2004-2006
TABLE AI-1: FECAL COLIFORM DENSITIES DURING WET AND DRY WEATHER AT NORTH AREA SAMPLE STATIONS 2004-2006

| Date | Rainfall (inches) |  | Fecal Coliform (cfu/100 mL) at North Area Stations |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | North Shore Channel |  | North Branch Chicago River |  |  |  |
|  | North Side WRP | North Branch Pumping Station | Oakton St. | Foster Ave. | Albany Ave. | Wilson Ave. | Diversey Pkwy. | Grand Ave. |
| Heavy Rain \#1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05/14/04* | 0.54 | 0.38 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| 05/15/04 | 0 | 0 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| 05/16/04 | 0 | 0 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| 05/17/04 | 0 | 0 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| 05/18/04 | 0.65 | 0.48 | 69,000 | 130,000 | 35,000 | 95,000 | 32,000 | 5,600 |
| 05/19/04 | 0 | 0 | 2,100 | 21,000 | 14,000 | 13,000 | 16,000 | 31,000 |
| 05/20/04 | 1.02 | 0.89 | 700 | 14,000 | 990 | 11,000 | 4,500 | 2,800 |
| 05/21/04 | 0.09 | 0.19 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| 05/21/04 | 0.29 | 0.07 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| 05/22/04* | 0.21 | 0.29 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| Heavy Rain \#2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05/30/04* | 1.69 | 1.55 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| 05/31/04* | 0.04 | 0.09 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| 06/01/04 | 0 | 0 | 200,000 | 13,000 | 9,300 | 12,000 | 7,800 | 10,000 |
| 06/02/04 | 0.01 | 0 | 8,300 | 17,000 | 1,400 | 8,000 | 5,300 | 4,500 |
| 06/03/04 | 0 | 0 | 1,100 | 15,000 | 1,800 | 12,000 | 7,700 | 2,000 |
| 06/04/04 | 0 | 0 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| 06/05/04 | 0 | 0 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| Heavy Rain \#3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 08/01/04 | 0 | 0 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| 08/02/04 | 0 | 0 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| 08/03/04 | 0.87 | 0.98 | 340 | 5,200 | 760 | 6,000 | 4,900 | 1,400 |
| 08/04/04* | 0.45 | 0.38 | 470,000 | 90,000 | 130,000 | 210,000 | 67,000 | 110,000 |
| 08/05/04 | 0 | 0 | 14,000 | 49,000 | 20,000 | 60,000 | 33,000 | 18,000 |
| 08/06/04 | 0 | 0 | 2,400 | 10,000 | 2,500 | 10,000 | 7,900 | 1,400 |
| 08/07/04 | 0 | 0 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| 08/08/04 | 0 | 0 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |

TABLE AI-1 (Continued): FECAL COLIFORM DENSITIES DURING WET AND DRY WEATHER AT NORTH AREA SAMPLE STATIONS 2004-2006

| Date | Rainfall (inches) |  | Fecal Coliform (cfu/100 mL) at North Area Stations |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | North Shore Channel |  | North Branch Chicago River |  |  |  |
|  | North Side WRP | North Branch Pumping Station | Oakton St. | Foster Ave. | Albany Ave. | Wilson Ave. | Diversey Pkwy. | Grand Ave. |
| Heavy Rain \#4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07/25/05 | 0.08 | 0.03 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| 07/26/05* | 0.39 | 0.84 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| 07/27/05 | 0 | 0 | 2,000 | 31,000 | 4,000 | 30,000 | 40,000 | 8,600 |
| 07/28/05 | 0 | 0 | 21,000 | 280 | 1,500 | 24,000 | 8,600 | 2,600 |
| 07/29/05 | 0 | 0 | 290 | 29,000 | 800 | 26,000 | 10,000 | 960 |
| 07/30/05 | 0 | 0 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| 07/31/05 | 0 | 0 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| Heavy Rain \#5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 03/11/06 | 0 | 0 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| 03/12/06 | 0.18 | 0.11 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| 03/13/06* | 0.81 | 0.80 | 4,450 | 7,500 | 7,850 | 6,800 | 36,000 | 38,500 |
| 03/14/06 | 0 | 0 | 970 | 4,200 | 1,100 | 3,000 | 2,200 | 5,200 |
| 03/15/06 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 2,300 | 320 | 1,500 | 1,300 | 1,100 |
| 03/16/06 | 0.13 | 0.06 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| 03/17/06 | 0 | 0 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| Heavy Rain \#6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04/01/06 | 0 | 0 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| 04/02/06 | 0.68 | 0.65 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| 04/03/06* | 0.30 | 0.13 | 2,700 | 5,100 | 78,000 | 210,000 | 110,000 | 73,000 |
| 04/04/06 | 0 | 0 | 64,000 | 2,600 | 3,400 | 3,500 | 2,400 | 23,000 |
| 04/05/06 | 0 | 0 | 14,000 | 2,500 | 1,000 | 1,500 | 1,100 | 1,400 |
| 04/06/06 | 0 | 0 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| 04/07/06 | 0.08 | 0.03 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |

TABLE AI-1 (Continued): FECAL COLIFORM DENSITIES DURING WET AND DRY WEATHER AT NORTH AREA SAMPLE STATIONS 2004-2006

| Date | Rainfall (inches) |  | Fecal Coliform (cfu/100 mL) at North Area Stations |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | North Shore Channel |  | North Branch Chicago River |  |  |  |
|  | North Side WRP | North Branch Pumping Station | Oakton St. | Foster Ave. | Albany Ave. | Wilson Ave. | Diversey Pkwy. | Grand Ave. |
| Heavy Rain \#7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04/15/06 | 0 | 0 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| 04/16/06* | 0.32 | 0.46 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| 04/17/06* | 0.66 | 0.41 | 110,000 | 8600 | 22,000 | 11,000 | 11,000 | 130,000 |
| 04/18/06 | 0 | 0 | 7,700 | 9,400 | 1,000 | 5,700 | 5,100 | 5,500 |
| 04/19/06 | 0 | 0 | 2,200 | 4,700 | 740 | 3,400 | 3,800 | 2,200 |
| 04/20/06 | 0.04 | 0 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| 04/21/06 | 0 | 0 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| Heavy Rain \#8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 06/24/06 | 0 | 0 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| 06/25/06 | 0.12 | 0.01 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| 06/26/06* | 0.82 | 0.96 | 23,000 | 32,000 | 12,000 | 29,000 | 30,000 | 6,800 |
| 06/27/06 | 0.19 | 0.07 | 2,700 | 5,500 | 8,400 | 6,900 | 8,300 | 17,000 |
| 06/28/06 | 0.22 | 0.11 | 5,600 | 5,000 | 3,200 | 5,000 | 7,400 | 1,300 |
| 06/29/06 | 0.03 | 0.01 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| 06/30/06 | 0 | 0 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| Heavy Rain \#9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 08/26/06 | 0 | 0 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| 08/27/06 | 0 | 0 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| 08/28/06* | 0.81 | 1.12 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| 08/29/06* | 0.48 | 0.29 | 1,200 | 30,000 | 25,000 | 16,000 | 23,000 | 69,000 |
| 08/30/06 | 0.11 | 0.08 | 1,500 | 21,000 | 23,000 | 38,000 | 65,000 | 270,000 |
| 08/31/06 | 0 | 0 | 110 | 9,400 | 1,400 | 5,600 | 11,000 | 8,600 |
| 09/01/06 | 0 | 0 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| 09/02/06 | 0 | 0 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |

TABLE AI-1 (Continued): FECAL COLIFORM DENSITIES DURING WET AND DRY WEATHER AT NORTH AREA

| Date | Rainfall (inches) |  | Fecal Coliform (cfu/100 mL) at North Area Stations |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | North Shore Channel |  | North Branch Chicago River |  |  |  |
|  | North Side WRP | North Branch Pumping Station | Oakton St. | Foster Ave. | Albany Ave. | Wilson Ave. | Diversey Pkwy. | Grand Ave. |
| Heavy Rain \#10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10/01/06 | 0.11 | 0.01 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| 10/02/06* | 1.43 | 2.16 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| 10/03/06* | 0 | 0 | 170,000 | 48,000 | 66,000 | 52,000 | 63,000 | 210,000 |
| 10/04/06 | 0 | 0 | 330,000 | 71,000 | 21,000 | 33,000 | 41,000 | 54,000 |
| 10/05/06 | 0 | 0 | 140,000 | 11,000 | 4,600 | 8,900 | 7,600 | 5,700 |
| 10/06/06 | 0 | 0 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| 10/07/06 | 0 | 0 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| Heavy Rain \#11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10/15/06 | 0 | 0 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| 10/16/06 | 0.45 | 0.33 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| 10/17/06* | 0.54 | 0.57 | 34,000 | 46,000 | 81,000 | 36,000 | 100,000 | 5,000 |
| 10/18/06 | 0.22 | 0.05 | 9,600 | 9,400 | 3,000 | 6,600 | 7,600 | 28,000 |
| 10/19/06 | 0 | 0 | 320 | 9,600 | 360,000 | 20,000 | 22,000 | 3,000 |
| 10/20/06 | 0 | 0 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| 10/21/06 | 0.22 | 0.13 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |

TABLE AI-1 (Continued): FECAL COLIFORM DENSITIES DURING WET AND DRY WEATHER AT NORTH AREA SAMPLE STATIONS 2004-2006

| Date | Rainfall (inches) |  | Fecal Coliform (cfu/100 mL) at North Area Stations |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | North Shore Channel |  | North Branch Chicago River |  |  |  |
|  | North Side WRP | North Branch Pumping Station | Oakton St. | Foster Ave. | Albany Ave. | Wilson Ave. | Diversey Pkwy. | Grand Ave. |
| Light Rain \#1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05/10/04 | 0.24 | 0.01 | 720 | 25,000 | 680 | 22,000 | 6,600 | 1,000 |
| 06/14/04 | 0.15 | 0.28 | 850 | 8,400 | 100,000 | 5,400 | 8,500 | 2,200 |
| 01/04/05 | 0.05 | 0.09 | 3,700 | 7,200 | 3,600 | 6,400 | 7,600 | 4,400 |
| 02/14/05 | 0.09 | 0.04 | 42,000 | 21,000 | 21,000 | 24,000 | 23,000 | 13,000 |
| 11/08/05 | 0.15 | 0.05 | 6,100 | 2,500 | 10,000 | 7,200 | 6,100 | 4,900 |
| 11/14/05 | 0.09 | 0.03 | 5,600 | 10,000 | 2,000 | 9,300 | 11,000 | 3,900 |
| 03/07/06 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 970 | 6,800 | 3,100 | 9,400 | 7,200 | 1,200 |
| 09/05/06 | 0.11 | 0.12 | 2,500 | 76,000 | 4,300 | 30,000 | 11,000 | 4,600 |
| Light Rain \#2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07/05/05 | 0.16 | 0.05 | 1,600 | 12,000 | 15,000 | 14,000 | 8,900 | 800 |
| 10/04/05 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 4,100 | 22,000 | 1,800 | 25,000 | 11,000 | 10,000 |
| 12/12/05 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 2,900 | 6,000 |  | 8,000 | 4,100 | 1,700 |
| 01/03/06 | 0.31 | 0.4 | 2,100 | 8,100 | 2,600 | 11,000 | 37,000 | 2,800 |
| Light Rain \#3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05/02/06 | 0 | 0.15 | 120 | 22,000 | 40 | 9,900 | 3,500 | 4,300 |
| 07/05/06 | 0.42 | 0.37 | 210 | 3,700 | 650 | 3,900 | 1,500 | 650 |

TABLE AI-1 (Continued): FECAL COLIFORM DENSITIES DURING WET AND DRY WEATHER AT NORTH AREA

| Date | Rainfall (inches) |  | Fecal Coliform (cfu/100 mL) at North Area Stations |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | North Shore Channel |  | North Branch Chicago River |  |  |  |
|  | North Side WRP | North Branch Pumping Station | Oakton St. | Foster Ave. | Albany Ave. | Wilson Ave. | Diversey Pkwy. | Grand Ave. |
| Dry Weather |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04/06/04 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 22,000 | 420 | 14,000 | 5,500 | 2,100 |
| 04/12/04 | 0 | 0 | 280 | 20,000 | 200 | 17,000 | 8,800 | 1,300 |
| 05/04/04 | 0 | 0 | 3,700 | 6,800 | 460 | 3,500 | 2,300 | 1,300 |
| 08/09/04 | 0 | 0 | 770 | 5,600 | 1,100 | 5,300 | 4,000 | 2,100 |
| 10/05/04 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 9,500 | 2,000 | 7,100 | 4,100 | 550 |
| 10/11/04 | 0 | 0 | 140 | 5,800 | 1,300 | 5,300 | 2,500 | 1,100 |
| 11/08/04 | 0 | 0 | 630 | 7,100 | 420 | 4,800 | 3,400 | 3,600 |
| 12/13/04 | 0 | 0 | 570 | 4,200 | 890 | 3,400 | 2,000 | 2,100 |
| 01/10/05 | 0 | 0 | 4,200 | 6,200 | 1,600 | 5,600 | 3,600 | 3,200 |
| 04/05/05 | 0 | 0 | 700 | 5,100 | 390 | 4,100 | 2,600 | 970 |
| 05/03/05 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 6,200 | 300 | 6,200 | 3,100 | 1,700 |
| 05/09/05 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 8,600 | 460 | 6,000 | 3,700 | 1,600 |
| 09/06/05 | 0 | 0 | 120 | 11,000 | 910 | 9,000 | 3,900 | 1,300 |
| 09/12/05 | 0 | 0 | 370 | 31,000 | 710 | 11,000 | 5,500 | 650 |
| 01/09/06 | 0 | 0 | 1,200 | 4,800 | 650 | 3,300 | 2,800 | 1,500 |
| 02/14/06 | 0 | 0 | 2,300 | 3,500 | 140 | 3,800 | 1,600 | 1,100 |
| 04/10/06 | 0 | 0 | 2,000 | 3,900 | 210 | 2,600 | 890 | 200 |
| 05/08/06 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 5,500 | 420 | 2,400 | 1,200 | 290 |
| 06/06/06 | 0 | 0 | 110 | 8,100 | 440 | 8,100 | 3,000 | 760 |
| 08/08/06 | 0 | 0 | 170 | 11,000 | 920 | 10,000 | 9,000 | 910 |
| 08/14/06 | 0 | 0 | 90 | 30,000 | 460 | 20,000 | 7,600 | 2,600 |
| 12/05/06 | 0 | 0 | 9,800 | 11,000 | 3,500 | 8,300 | 8,800 | 7,800 |

[^2]TABLE AI-2: FECAL COLIFORM DENSITIES DURING WET AND DRY WEATHER AT SOUTH AREA SAMPLE STATIONS 2004-2006

| Date | Rainfall (inches) |  | Fecal Coliform (cfu/100 mL) at South Area Stations |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Little Calumet River |  |  | Calumet-Sag Channel |  |  |
|  | Calumet WRP | Melvina <br> Pumping Station | Indiana Ave. | Halsted St. | Ashland Ave. | Ashland Ave. | Cicero Ave. | Route 83 |
| Heavy Rain \#1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05/14/04* | 0.81 | 0.78 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| 05/15/04* | 0.01 | 0.17 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| 05/16/04 | 0 | 0 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| 05/17/04 | 0 | 0 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| 05/18/04 | 0.90 | 0.32 | 200 | 4,100 | 80,000 | 10,000 | 3,000 | 360 |
| 05/19/04 | 0 | 0 | 200 | 2,600 | 14,000 | 7,800 | 6,800 | 840 |
| 05/20/04 | 0 | 0 | 140 | 3,400 | 990 | 2,200 | 770 | 540 |
| 05/21/04 | 0.03 | 0.28 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| 05/22/04 | 0.10 | 0.01 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| Heavy Rain \#2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05/30/04* | 1.01 | 1.50 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| 05/31/04* | 0.42 | 0.32 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| 06/01/04* | 0 | 0.03 | 360 | 54,000 | 9,500 | 36,000 | 39,000 | 31,000 |
| 06/02/04 | 0.03 | 0.11 | 440 | 3,200 | 3,700 | 2,400 | 3,500 | 3,300 |
| 06/03/04 | 0 | 0 | 140 | 5,800 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 1,200 | 2,500 |
| 06/04/04 | 0 | 0 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| 06/05/04 | 0 | 0 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| Heavy Rain \#3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 06/12/04* | 0 | 0 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| 06/13/04 | 0 | 0 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| 06/14/04 | 0.36 | 0.61 | 560 | 5,600 | 1,600 | 2,000 | 1,100 | 2,300 |
| 06/15/04 | 0 | 0 | 370 | 5,900 | 3,000 | 3,600 | 2,400 | 2,000 |
| 06/16/04 | 0 | 0 | 240 | 5,000 | 2,100 | 1,300 | 1,100 | 440 |
| 06/17/04 | 0 | 0 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| 06/18/04 | 0 | 0 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |

TABLE AI-2 (Continued): FECAL COLIFORM DENSITIES DURING WET AND DRY WEATHER AT SOUTH AREA SAMPLE STATIONS 2004-2006

| Date | Rainfall (inches) |  | Fecal Coliform (cfu/100 mL) at South Area Stations |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Little Calumet River |  |  | Calumet-Sag Channel |  |  |
|  | Calumet WRP | Melvina Pumping Station | Indiana Ave. | Halsted St. | Ashland Ave. | Ashland Ave. | Cicero Ave. | Route 83 |
| Heavy Rain \#4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 08/26/06 | 0 | 0 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| 08/27/06 | 0 | 0 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| 08/28/06* | 0.42 | 0.99 | 30 | 1,600 | 440 | 1,100 | 500 | 260 |
| 08/29/06 | 0.87 | 0.03 | 410 | 65,000 | 37,000 | 76,000 | 200,000 | 200,000 |
| 08/30/06 | 0 | 0 | 1,600 | 16,000 | 9,300 | 11,000 | 8,600 | 10,000 |
| 08/31/06 | 0 | 0 | 560 | 11,000 | 4,900 | 7,400 | 3,300 | 1,500 |
| 09/01/06 | 0 | 0 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| 09/02/06 | 0 | 0 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| Heavy Rain \#5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 09/10/06 | 0.34 | 0.2 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| 09/11/06 | 0.70 | 1.09 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| 09/12/06 | 0.48 | 0.12 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| 09/13/06* | 1.23 | 0.89 | 12,000 | 6,000 | 34,000 | 37,000 | 290,000 | 1,500 |
| 09/14/06 | 0 | 0 | 13,000 | 44,000 | 31,000 | 40,000 | 100,000 | 70,000 |
| 09/15/06 | 0 | 0 | 5,900 | 12,000 | 9,000 | 14,000 | 12,000 | 12,000 |
| 09/16/06 | 0 | 0 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| 09/17/06 | 0.44 | 0.69 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| Heavy Rain \#6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10/01/06 | 0.06 | 0.02 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| 10/02/06 | 1.99 | 3.09 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| 10/03/06* | 0 | 0 | 380 | 63,000 | 38,000 | 7,700 | 33,000 | 22,000 |
| 10/04/06 | 0 | 0 | 550 | 29,000 | 11,000 | 35,000 | 94,000 | 44,000 |
| 10/05/06 | 0 | 0 | 480 | 13,000 | 1,600 | 6,400 | 3,300 | 5,200 |
| 10/06/06 | 0 | 0 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| 10/07/06 | 0 | 0 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |

TABLE AI-2 (Continued): FECAL COLIFORM DENSITIES DURING WET AND DRY WEATHER AT SOUTH AREA

| Date | Rainfall (inches) |  | Fecal Coliform (cfu/100 mL) at South Area Stations |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Little Calumet River |  |  | Calumet-Sag Channel |  |  |
|  | Calumet WRP | Melvina Pumping Station | Indiana Ave. | Halsted St. | Ashland Ave. | Ashland Ave. | Cicero Ave. | Route 83 |
| Light Rain \#1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04/20/04 | 0.66 | 0.57 | 40 | 270 | 140 | 250 | 20 | 40 |
| 05/25/04 | 0.43 | 0.21 | 80 | 3,100 | 9,900 | 16,000 | 2,300 | 210 |
| 06/28/04 | 0.18 | 0.03 | 50 | 6,500 | 410 | 3,900 | 400 | 20 |
| 02/28/05 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 170 | 2,800 | 29,000 | 2,700 | 2,200 | 470 |
| 07/25/05 | 0.12 | 0.60 | 40 | 2,200 | 510 | 930 | 410 | 200 |
| 10/24/05 | 0.65 | 0.22 | 170 | 13,000 | 66,000 | 33,000 | 1,700 | 9 |
| 11/28/05 | 0.44 | 0.77 | 70 | 9,000 | 68,000 | 5,200 | 1,600 | 50 |
| 07/18/06 | 0.45 | 0.01 | 10 | 760 | 1,200 | 1,200 | 190 | 40 |
| Light Rain \#2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05/26/04 | 0.43 | 0.21 | 240 | 4,300 | 4,700 | 3,100 | 1,100 | 210 |
| 06/22/04 | 0.28 | 0.42 | 30 | 3,000 | 7,600 | 1,800 | 500 | 90 |
| 11/16/04 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 7,200 | 5,800 | 16,000 | 8,500 | 2,500 | 230 |
| 07/19/05 | 0.16 | 0.01 | 60 | 1,300 | 130,000 | 22,000 | 30 | 9 |
| 09/20/05 | 0.19 | 0.80 | 50 | 2,000 | 2,900 | 2,000 | 810 | 1,700 |
| 09/26/05 | 0.26 | 0.15 | 20 | 3,400 | 32,000 | 6,900 | 830 | 70 |
| 05/16/06 | 0.20 | 0.14 | 90 | 3,800 | 2,200 | 2,700 | 1,300 | 160 |
| 10/23/06 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 590 | 6,800 | 760 | 4,600 | 910 | 510 |
| Light Rain \#3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01/24/05 | 0.11 | 0.10 | NS | 2,000 | NS | 800 | 530 | NS |
| 02/22/05 | 0.08 | 0.06 | 140 | 2,900 | 1,400 | 2,900 | 3,600 | 630 |
| 06/20/06 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 180 | 4,100 | 140 | 3,300 | 210 | 40 |
| 09/19/06 | 0.44 | 0.69 | 1,000 | 6,400 | 2,000 | 4,100 | 2,700 | 1,300 |
| 09/25/06 | 0.24 | 0.09 | 220 | 2,000 | 1,500 | 1,300 | 740 | 480 |

TABLE AI-2 (Continued): FECAL COLIFORM DENSITIES DURING WET AND DRY WEATHER AT SOUTH AREA SAMPLE STATIONS 2004-2006

| Date | Rainfall (inches) |  | Fecal Coliform (cfu/100 mL) at South Area Stations |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Little Calumet River |  |  | Calumet-Sag Channel |  |  |
|  | Calumet WRP | Melvina Pumping Station | Indiana Ave. | Halsted St. | Ashland Ave. | Ashland Ave. | Cicero Ave. | Route 83 |
| Dry Weather |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 09/27/04 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 3500 | 220000 | 2100 | 340 | 20 |
| 12/21/04 | 0 | 0 | 140 | 2900 | 5200 | 3000 | 2200 | 510 |
| 03/28/05 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 2100 | 970 | 2400 | 2100 | 100 |
| 04/25/05 | 0 | 0 | NS | 6000 | 1100 | 3600 | 1500 | 40 |
| 05/17/05 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 670 | 550 | 270 | 110 | 10 |
| 05/23/05 | 0 | 0 | NS | 710 | 410 | 880 | 250 | 30 |
| 06/21/05 | 0 | 0 | 120 | 2000 | 300 | 550 | 110 | 20 |
| 06/27/05 | 0 | 0 | 99 | 1100 | 150 | 480 | 120 | 9 |
| 08/16/05 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 1400 | 1200 | 1200 | 740 | 60 |
| 02/21/06 | 0 | 0 | 490 | 1500 | 360 | 1200 | 980 | 210 |
| 02/27/06 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 1200 | 150 | 860 | 180 | 9 |
| 03/21/06 | 0 | 0 | 170 | 3600 | 2400 | 3100 | 1000 | 160 |
| 05/22/06 | 0 | 0 | 110 | 2700 | 370 | 3100 | 420 | 9 |
| 08/22/06 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 2500 | 390 | 930 | 490 | 120 |
| 11/27/06 | 0 | 0 | 390 | 3400 | 550 | 1300 | 450 | 70 |




[^0]:    Densities Not Included in Die-Off Estimates)

[^1]:    ${ }^{\text {a}}$ Upstream WRP effluent outfall.

[^2]:    NS = No Fecal Coliform sample.
    *North Branch Pumping Station CSO discharge to North Branch Chicago River.

